arXiv:1504.06097v1 [math.AP] 23 Apr 2015

Derivation of a poroelastic flexural shell model*

Andro Mikelić
Université de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5208,
Université Lyon 1, Institut Camille Jordan,
43, blvd. du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France
E-mail: `Andro.Mikelic@univ-lyon1.fr`

Josip Tambača
Department of Mathematics
University of Zagreb
Bijenička 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

April 24, 2015

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Geometry of Shells and Setting of the Problem **4**

3 Problem setting in curvilinear coordinates and the main results **7**
3.1 Dimensionless equations 7
3.2 Existence and uniqueness for the ε-problem 8
3.3 Problem in Curvilinear Coordinates and the Scaled Problem 9
3.4 Convergence results 11

4 A priori estimates **14**

5 Derivation of the limit model **18**

6 Appendix **27**
6.1 Properties of the metric tensor, the curvature tensor and the third fundamental form 27
6.2 Computation of $\mathbf{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})$ 27
6.3 Cylindrical surface 28

---

[*] A.M. was partially supported by the Programme Inter Carnot Fraunhofer from BMBF (Grant 01SF0804) and ANR.

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the limit behavior of the solution to quasi-static Biot's equations in thin poroelastic flexural shells as the thickness of the shell tends to zero and extend the results obtained for the poroelastic plate by Marciniak-Czochra and Mikelić in [16]. We choose Terzaghi's time corresponding to the shell thickness and obtain the strong convergence of the three-dimensional solid displacement, fluid pressure and total poroelastic stress to the solution of the new class of shell equations.

The derived bending equation is coupled with the pressure equation and it contains the bending moment due to the variation in pore pressure across the shell thickness. The effective pressure equation is parabolic only in the normal direction. As additional terms it contains the time derivative of the middle-surface flexural strain.

Derivation of the model presents an extension of the results on the derivation of classical linear elastic shells by Ciarlet and collaborators to the poroelastic shells case. The new technical points include determination of the 2×2 strain matrix, independent of the vertical direction, in the limit of the rescaled strains and identification of the pressure equation. This term is not necessary to be determined in order to derive the classical flexural shell model.

Keywords: Thin poroelastic shell, Biot's quasi-static equations, bending-flow coupling, higher order degenerate elliptic-parabolic systems, asymptotic methods.

AMS classcode MSC 35B25, MSC 74F10, MSC 74K25, MSC 74Q15, MSC 76S.

1 Introduction

A shell is a three dimensional body, defined by its middle surface $\mathcal{S}$ and a neighborhood of a small dimension (the thickness) along the normals to it. The shell is said to be thin when the thickness is much smaller then the minimum of its two radii of the curvature and of the characteristic length of the middle surface $\mathcal{S}$.

The basic engineering theory for the bending of thin shells is known as Kirchoff-Love theory or Love's first approximation. The equations were derived by the so called "direct method" (see [18] and references therein) and not from the three dimensional equations. A derivation from the three dimensional, at the rigor of the continuum mechanics, is due to Novozhilov and we refer again to [18] for both linear and nonlinear models.

A different approach to deriving the shell equations is to suppose that the middle surface $\mathcal{S}$ is given as $\mathcal{S} = \mathbf{X}(\overline{\omega})$, where $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open bounded and simply connected set with Lipschitz-continuous boundary $\partial\omega$ and $\mathbf{X} : \overline{\omega} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth injective immersion (that is $\mathbf{X} \in C^3$ and 3×2 matrix $\nabla \mathbf{X}$ is of rank two). The vectors $\mathbf{a}_\alpha(y) = \partial_\alpha \mathbf{X}(y)$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, are linearly independent for all $y \in \overline{\omega}$ and form the covariant basis of the tangent plane to the 2-surface $\mathcal{S}$.

Then the reference configuration of the shell is of the form $\mathbf{r}(\overline{\Omega}^\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\Omega^\varepsilon = \omega \times (-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2)$ and

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}(y, x_3) = \mathbf{X}(y) + x_3 \mathbf{a}_3(y), \quad \mathbf{a}_3(y) = \frac{\mathbf{a}_1(y) \times \mathbf{a}_2(y)}{|\mathbf{a}_1(y) \times \mathbf{a}_2(y)|}. \tag{1.1}$$

The associated equations of linearized three dimensional elasticity are then written in curvilinear coordinates with respect to $(y_1, y_2, x_3) \in \overline{\Omega}^\varepsilon$. Their solutions represent the covariant components of the displacement field in the reference configuration $\mathbf{r}(\overline{\Omega}^\varepsilon)$.

Then Ciarlet and collaborators developed the asymptotic analysis approach where the normal direction variable $x_3 \in (-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2)$ was scaled by setting $y_3 = x_3/\varepsilon$. This change of variables transforms the PDE to a singular perturbation problem in curvilinear coordinates on a fixed cylindrical domain. With such approach Ciarlet and collaborators have established the norm closeness between the solution of the original three dimensional elasticity equations and the Kirchhoff-Love two dimensional flexural and membrane shell equations, in the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$. For details, we refer to the articles [9] and [10] and to the books [6] and [7]. For the complete asymptotic expansion we refer to the review paper [13] by Dauge et al. Further generalizations to nonlinear shells exist and were obtained using $\Gamma-$ convergence. We limit our discussion to the linear shells.

In the everyday life we frequently meet shells (and other low dimensional bodies) which are saturated by a fluid. Many living tissues are fluid-saturated thin bodies like bones, bladders, arteries and diaphragms and they are interpreted as poroelastic plates or shells. Furthermore, industrial filters are an example of poroelastic plates and shells.

Our goal is to extend the above mentioned theory to the **poroelastic** shells. In the case of the poroelastic plates, derivation of the mathematical model was undertaken in [16]. As in the case of plates, these are the shells consisting of an elastic skeleton (the solid phase) and pores saturated by a viscous fluid (the fluid phase). Interaction between the two phases leads to an **overall** or **effective** behavior described by the poroelasticity equations instead of the Navier elasticity equations, coupled with the mass conservation equation for the pressure field. The equations form Biot's poroelasticity PDEs and can be found in [2], [3] and in the selection of Biot's publications [23].

The effective linear Biot's model corresponds to the homogenization of the complicated pore level fluid-structure interaction problem based on the continuum mechanics first principles, i.e. the Navier equations for the solid structure and by the Navier-Stokes equations for the flow. Small deformations are supposed and the interface between phases is linearized. The small parameter of the problem is the ratio between characteristic pore size and the domain size. If, in addition, we consider a periodic porous medium with connected fluid and solid phases, then the two-scale poroelasticity equations can be obtained using *formal two-scale expansions* in the small parameter, applied to the pore level fluid-structure equations. For details we refer to the book [20], the review [1] and the references therein.

Convergence of the homogenization process for a given frequency was obtained in [19], using the *two-scale convergence technique*. Convergence in space and time variables was proved by Mikelić and collaborators in [11] and [14]. The upscaling result was presented in detail in [16] and we avoid to repeat it here. We point out that the upscaled model depends on the particular time scale known as *Terzaghi's time* T_T. It is equal to the ratio between the viscosity times the characteristic length squared and the shear modulus of the solid structure multiplied by the permeability. If the characteristic time is much longer than T_T than flow dominates vibrations and the acceleration and memory effects can be neglected. The model is then the quasi-static Biot model. For its derivation from the first principles using homogenization techniques see [17].

For the direct continuum mechanics approach to Biot's equations, we refer to the monograph of Coussy [12]. Using a direct approach, a model for a spherical poroelastic shell is proposed in [22].

In this paper we follow the approach of Ciarlet, Lods and Miara, as presented in the textbooks [6] and [7], and rigorously develop equations for a poroelastic flexural shell.

Successful recent approaches to the derivation of linear and nonlinear shell models use the elastic energy functional. In our situation, presence of the flow makes the problem quasi-static, that is time-dependent, and non-symmetric. The equations for the effective solid skeleton displacement contain the pressure gradient and have the structure of a generalized Stokes system, with the velocity field replaced by displacement. Mass conservation equation is parabolic in the pressure and contains the time derivative of the volumetric strain.

We recall that the quasi-static Biot system is well-posed only if there is a relationship between Biot parameters multiplying the pressure gradient in the displacement system and the time derivative of the divergence of the displacement in the pressure equation. We were able to obtain in [16] the corresponding energy estimate. Similar estimates, for the equations in the curvilinear coordinates, will be obtained here.

In addition, there exists a major difference, with respect to the limit of the normalized e_{33} term, compared to a classical derivation of the Kirchhoff-Love shell. In our poroelastic case, the limit also contains the pressure field. Furthermore, the pressure oscillations persist and we prove the regularity and uniqueness for the limit problem. As in the poroelastic plate case, it has a richer structure than the classical bending equation. We expect more complex time behavior in this model.

2 Geometry of Shells and Setting of the Problem

We study the deformation and the flow in a poroelastic shell $\tilde{\Omega}^\ell_L = \mathbf{r}(\Omega^\ell_L)$, $L, \ell > 0$, where the injective mapping $\mathbf{r}$ is given by (1.1), for $x_3 \in (-\ell/2, \ell/2)$ and $(y_1, y_2) \in \omega_L$, diam $(\omega_L) = L$. We recall the middle surface $\mathcal{S} = \mathbf{X}(\overline{\omega}_L)$ is the image by a smooth injective immersion $\mathbf{X}$ of an open bounded and simply connected set $\omega_L \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with Lipschitz-continuous boundary $\partial\omega_L$. We use the linearly independent vectors $\mathbf{a}_\alpha(y) = \partial_\alpha \mathbf{X}(y)$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, to form a covariant basis of the tangent plane to the 2-surface $\mathcal{S}$. The contravariant basis of the same plane is given by the vectors $\mathbf{a}^\alpha(y)$ defined by $\mathbf{a}^\alpha(y) \cdot \mathbf{a}_\beta(y) = \delta^\alpha_\beta$. We extend these bases to the basis of the whole space $\mathbb{R}^3$ by the vector $\mathbf{a}_3$ given in (1.1) ($\mathbf{a}^3 = \mathbf{a}_3$). Now we collect the local contravariant and covariant bases into the matrix functions

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}^1 & \mathbf{a}^2 & \mathbf{a}^3 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{Q}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^T \\ \mathbf{a}_2^T \\ \mathbf{a}_3^T \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

The first fundamental form of the surface $\mathcal{S}$, or the metric tensor, in covariant $\mathbf{A}_c = (a_{\alpha\beta})$ or contravariant $\mathbf{A}^c = (a^{\alpha\beta})$ components are given respectively by

$$a_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{a}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{a}_\beta, \quad a^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{a}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{a}^\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2.$$

Note here that because of continuity of $\mathbf{A}^c$ and compactness of $\overline{\omega}_L$, there are constants $M^c \geq m^c > 0$ such that

$$m^c \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{A}^c(y)\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq M^c \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, y \in \overline{\omega}_L. \tag{2.2}$$

These estimates, with different constants, hold for $\mathbf{A}_c$ as well, as it is the inverse of $\mathbf{A}^c$. The second fundamental form of the surface $\mathcal{S}$, also known as the curvature tensor, in covariant $\mathbf{B}_c = (b_{\alpha\beta})$ or mixed components $\mathbf{B} = (b^\beta_\alpha)$ are given respectively by

$$b_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{a}^3 \cdot \partial_\beta \mathbf{a}_\alpha = -\partial_\beta \mathbf{a}^3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_\alpha, \quad b^\beta_\alpha = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} a^{\beta\kappa} b_{\kappa\alpha}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2.$$

The Christoffel symbols $\mathbf{\Gamma}^\kappa$ are defined by

$$\Gamma^\kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{a}^\kappa \cdot \partial_\beta \mathbf{a}_\alpha = -\partial_\beta \mathbf{a}^\kappa \cdot \mathbf{a}_\alpha, \quad \alpha, \beta, \kappa = 1, 2.$$

We will sometime use $\Gamma^3_{\alpha\beta}$ for $b_{\alpha\beta}$. The area element along $\mathcal{S}$ is $\sqrt{a}dy$, where $a := \det \mathbf{A}_c$. By (2.2) it is uniformly positive, i.e., there is $m_a > 0$ such that

$$0 < m_a \leq a(y), \qquad y \in \overline{\omega}_L. \tag{2.3}$$

We also need the covariant derivatives $b^\kappa_\beta|_\alpha$ which are defined by

$$b^\kappa_\beta|_\alpha = \partial_\alpha b^\kappa_\beta + \sum_{\tau=1}^{2}(\Gamma^\kappa_{\alpha\tau} b^\tau_\beta - \Gamma^\tau_{\beta\alpha} b^\kappa_\tau), \quad \alpha, \beta, \kappa = 1, 2. \tag{2.4}$$

In order to describe our results we also need the following differential operators:

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\alpha v_\beta + \partial_\beta v_\alpha) - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2}\Gamma^\kappa_{\alpha\beta} v_\kappa - b_{\alpha\beta} v_3, \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{v}) = \partial_{\alpha\beta} v_3 - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2}\Gamma^\kappa_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\kappa v_3 + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^\kappa_\beta(\partial_\alpha v_\kappa - \sum_{\tau=1}^{2}\Gamma^\tau_{\alpha\kappa} v_\tau) + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^\kappa_\alpha(\partial_\beta v_\kappa - \sum_{\tau=1}^{2}\Gamma^\tau_{\beta\kappa} v_\tau) \\ + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^\kappa_\alpha|_\beta v_\kappa - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^\kappa_\alpha b_{\kappa\beta} v_3, \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

$$n_{\alpha\beta}|_\beta = \partial_\beta n_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2}\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\kappa} n_{\beta\kappa} + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2}\Gamma^\beta_{\beta\kappa} n_{\alpha\kappa}, \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2,$$

$$n_{\alpha\beta}|_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha(n_{\alpha\beta}|_\beta) + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2}\Gamma^\kappa_{\alpha\kappa} n_{\alpha\beta}|_\beta, \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2,$$

defined for smooth vector fields $\mathbf{v}$ and tensor fields $\mathbf{n}$.

The upper face (respectively lower face) of the shell $\tilde{\Omega}^\ell_L$ is $\tilde{\Sigma}^\ell_L = \mathbf{r}(\omega_L \times \{x_3 = \ell/2\}) = \mathbf{r}(\Sigma^\ell_L)$ (respectively $\tilde{\Sigma}^{-\ell}_L = \mathbf{r}(\omega_L \times \{x_3 = -\ell/2\}) = \mathbf{r}(\Sigma^{-\ell}_L)$. $\tilde{\Gamma}^\ell_L$ is the lateral boundary, $\tilde{\Gamma}^\ell_L = \mathbf{r}(\partial\omega_L \times (-\ell/2, \ell/2)) = \mathbf{r}(\Gamma^\ell_L)$. We recall that the small parameter is the ratio between the shell thickness and the characteristic horizontal length is $\varepsilon = \ell/L \ll 1$.

Table 1: Parameter and unknowns description

SYMBOL	*QUANTITY*
μ	shear modulus (Lamé's second parameter)
λ	Lamé's first parameter
β_G	inverse of Biot's modulus
α	effective stress coefficient
k	permeability
η	viscosity
L and ℓ	midsurface length and shell width, respectively
$\varepsilon = \ell/L$	small parameter
$T = \eta L_c^2/(k\mu)$	characteristic Terzaghi's time
U	characteristic displacement
$P = U\mu/L$	characteristic fluid pressure
$\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$	solid phase displacement
p	pressure

We note that Biot's diphasic equations describe behavior of the system at so called Terzaghi's time scale $T = \eta L_c^2/(k\mu)$, where L_c is the characteristic domain size, η is dynamic viscosity, k is permeability and μ is the shear modulus. For the list of all parameters see Table 1.

Similarly as in [16], we chose as the characteristic length $L_c = \ell$, which leads to the Taber-Terzaghi transversal time $T_{tab} = \eta\ell^2/(k\mu)$. Another possibility was to choose the longitudinal time scaling with $T_{long} = \eta L^2/(k\mu)$. It would lead to different scaling in (2.9) and the dimensionless permeability coefficient in (3.3) would not be ε^2 but 1. In the context of thermoelasticity, one has the same equations and Blanchard and Francfort rigorously derived in [5] the corresponding thermoelastic plate equations. We note that considering the longitudinal time scale yields the effective model where the pressure (i.e. the temperature in thermoelasticity) is decoupled from the flexion.

Then the quasi-static Biot equations for the poroelastic body $\tilde{\Omega}^\ell_L$ take the following dimensional form:

$$\tilde{\sigma} = 2\mu \mathbf{e}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) + (\lambda \text{ div } \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - \alpha \tilde{p})\mathbf{I} \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}^\ell_L, \tag{2.7}$$

$$- \text{ div } \tilde{\sigma} = -\mu \triangle \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - (\lambda + \mu) \bigtriangledown \text{div } \tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \alpha \bigtriangledown \tilde{p} = 0 \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}^\ell_L, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\beta_G \tilde{p} + \alpha \text{ div } \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) - \frac{k}{\eta} \triangle \tilde{p} = 0 \text{ in } \tilde{\Omega}^\ell_L. \tag{2.9}$$

Note that $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{u}) = \text{sym} \bigtriangledown \mathbf{u}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the stress tensor. All other quantities are defined in Table 1.

We impose a given contact force $\tilde{\sigma}\boldsymbol{\nu} = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\pm\ell}_L$ and a given normal flux $-\frac{k}{\eta}\frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial x_3} = \tilde{V}_L$ at $x_3 = \pm\ell/2$. At the lateral boundary $\tilde{\Gamma}^\ell$ we impose a zero displacement and a zero normal flux. Here $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is the outer unit normal at the boundary. At initial time $t = 0$ we prescribe the initial pressure $\tilde{p}^\ell_{L,\text{in}}$.

Our goal is to extend the Kirchhoff-Love shell justification by Ciarlet, Lods et al and by Dauge et al to the poroelastic case.

We announce briefly the differential equations of the flexural poroelastic shell in dimensional form. Note that our mathematical result will be in the variational form and that differential form is only formal and written for reader's comfort.

Effective dimensional equations:

The model is given in terms of $\mathbf{u}^{\text{eff}} : \omega_L \to \mathbb{R}^3$ which is the vector of components of the displacement of the middle surface of the shell in the contravariant basis and $p^{\text{eff}} : \Omega^\ell_L \to \mathbb{R}$ which is the pressure in the 3D shell. Let us denote the bending moment (contact couple) due to the variation in pore pressure across the plate thickness by

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{\ell^3}{12}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^c(\mathbf{A}^c \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}^{\text{eff}}))\mathbf{A}^c + \frac{2\mu\alpha}{\lambda + 2\mu}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} y_3 p^{\text{eff}} dy_3 \mathbf{A}^c, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\cdot)$ is given by (2.6) and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^c$ is the elasticity tensor, usually appearing in the classical shell theories, given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^c \mathbf{E} = 2\mu \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 2\mu} \text{ tr }(\mathbf{E})\mathbf{I} + 2\mu \mathbf{E}, \qquad \mathbf{E} \in M^{3\times 3}_{\text{sym}}.$$

Then the model in the differential formulation reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\beta=1}^{2}\left(-(n_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^\alpha_\kappa m_{\kappa\beta})|_\beta - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^\alpha_\kappa (m_{\kappa\beta}|_\beta)\right) = (\mathcal{P}^{+\ell}_L)_\alpha + (\mathcal{P}^{-\ell}_L)_\alpha \text{ in } \omega_L, \quad \alpha = 1, 2,\\
&\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{2}\left(m_{\alpha\beta}|_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^\kappa_\alpha b_{\kappa\beta} m_{\alpha\beta} - b_{\alpha\beta} n_{\alpha\beta}\right) = (\mathcal{P}^{+\ell}_L)_3 + (\mathcal{P}^{-\ell}_L)_3 \text{ in } \omega_L,\\
&\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\alpha u^{\text{eff}}_\beta + \partial_\beta u^{\text{eff}}_\alpha) - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} \Gamma^\kappa_{\alpha\beta} u^{\text{eff}}_\kappa - b_{\alpha\beta} u^{\text{eff}}_3 = 0 \text{ in } \omega_L, \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2,\\
&u^{\text{eff}}_i = 0, i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \frac{\partial u^{\text{eff}}_3}{\partial \boldsymbol{\nu}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\omega_L, \qquad \text{for every } \ t \in (0, T),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\beta_G + \frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda+2\mu}\Big)\frac{\partial p^{\rm eff}}{\partial t} - \alpha\frac{2\mu}{\lambda+2\mu}\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{\rm eff}}{\partial t})y_3 - \frac{k}{\eta}\frac{\partial^2 p^{\rm eff}}{\partial (y_3)^2} = 0 \\
&\quad \text{in } \ (0,T)\times\omega_L\times(-\ell/2,\ell/2), \\
&\frac{k}{\eta}\frac{\partial p^{\rm eff}}{\partial y_3} = -V_L, \qquad \text{on } (0,T) \ \times\omega_L\times(\{-\ell/2\}\cup\{\ell/2\}), \\
&p^{\rm eff} = p^{\ell}_{L,\rm in} \quad \text{given at } \ t=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Here $(\mathcal{P}^{\pm\ell}_L)_i, i=1,2,3$ are components of the contact force $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\pm\ell}_L\circ\boldsymbol{r}$ at $\Sigma^{\pm\ell}_L$ in the covariant basis, $V_L = \tilde{V}_L\circ\boldsymbol{X}$, $p^{\ell}_{L,\rm in} = \tilde{p}^{\ell}_{L,\rm in}\circ\boldsymbol{r}$. Thus, the poroelastic flexural shell model in the differential formulation is given for unknowns $\{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{u}^{\rm eff},p^{\rm eff}\}$ and by equations (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12). The components of $\mathbf{n}$ are the contact forces, linked to the constraint $\gamma(\mathbf{u}^{\rm eff})=0$, and being the Lagrange multipliers in the problem. The components of $\mathbf{m}$ are the contact couples. The first two equations in (2.11) can be found in the differential equation of the Koiter shell model (see [7, Theorem 7.1-3]). The third equation is the restriction of approximate inextensibility of the shell. The first equation in (2.12) is the evolution equation for the effective pressure with associated boundary and initial conditions in the remaining part of (2.12). Note also that the same model holds for the shell clamped only on the portion of the boundary, i.e., the boundary condition in the fourth equation in (2.11) holds for a subset of $\partial\omega_L$ with positive measure.

In subsection 3.1 we present the dimensionless form of the problem. In subsection 3.2 we recall existence and uniqueness result of the smooth solution for the starting problem. Subsection 3.3 is consecrated to the introduction of the problem in curvilinear coordinates and the rescaled problem, posed on the domain $\Omega=\omega\times(-1/2,1/2)$. In subsection 3.4 we formulate the main convergence results. In Section 4 we study the a priori estimates for the family of solutions. Then in Section 5 we study convergence of the solutions to the rescaled problem, as $\varepsilon\to 0$. In Appendix we give properties of the metric and curvature tensors.

3 Problem setting in curvilinear coordinates and the main results

3.1 Dimensionless equations

We introduce the dimensionless unknowns and variable by setting

$$\beta=\beta_G\mu;\quad P=\frac{\mu U}{L};\quad U\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon=\tilde{\mathbf{u}};\quad T=\frac{\eta\ell^2}{k\mu};\quad \tilde{\lambda}=\frac{\lambda}{\mu};$$

$$P\tilde{p}^\varepsilon=\tilde{p};\quad \tilde{y}L=y;\quad \tilde{x}_3L=x_3;\quad \tilde{\mathbf{r}}L=\mathbf{r};\quad \tilde{\mathbf{X}}L=\mathbf{X};\quad \tilde{t}T=t;\quad \tilde{\sigma}^\varepsilon\frac{\mu U}{L}=\tilde{\sigma}.$$

After dropping wiggles in the coordinates and in the time, the system (2.7)–(2.9) becomes

$$-\operatorname{div}\tilde{\sigma}^\varepsilon = -\triangle\,\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon - \tilde{\lambda}\bigtriangledown\operatorname{div}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon + \alpha\bigtriangledown\tilde{p}^\varepsilon = 0 \ \text{ in } (0,T)\times\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^\varepsilon = 2\mathbf{e}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon) + (\tilde{\lambda}\operatorname{div}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon - \alpha\tilde{p}^\varepsilon)\mathbf{I} \ \text{ in } \ (0,T)\times\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\beta\tilde{p}^\varepsilon + \alpha\operatorname{div}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon) - \varepsilon^2\triangle\tilde{p}^\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{in } \ (0,T)\times\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon=(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon_1,\tilde{u}^\varepsilon_2,\tilde{u}^\varepsilon_3)$ denotes the dimensionless displacement field and $\tilde{p}^\varepsilon$ the dimensionless pressure. We study a shell $\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon$ with thickness $\varepsilon=\ell/L$ and section $\omega=\omega_L/L$. It is described by

$$\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon = \mathbf{r}(\{(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in\omega\times(-\varepsilon/2,\varepsilon/2)\}) = \mathbf{r}(\Omega^\varepsilon),$$

$\tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_+$ (respectively $\tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_-$) is the upper face (respectively the lower face) of the shell $\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon$. $\tilde{\Gamma}^\varepsilon$ is the lateral boundary, $\tilde{\Gamma}^\varepsilon = \partial\omega \times (-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2)$.

We suppose that a given dimensionless traction force is applied on $\tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_+ \cup \tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_-$ and impose the shell is clamped on $\tilde{\Gamma}^\varepsilon$:

$$\tilde{\sigma}^\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} = (2\mathbf{e}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon) - \alpha \tilde{p}^\varepsilon I + \tilde{\lambda}(\text{div } \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon)I)\boldsymbol{\nu} = \varepsilon^3 \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\pm \text{ on } \tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_+ \cup \tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_-, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon = 0, \quad \text{on } \tilde{\Gamma}^\varepsilon. \tag{3.5}$$

For the pressure $\tilde{p}^\varepsilon$, at the lateral boundary $\tilde{\Gamma}^\varepsilon$ we impose zero inflow/outflow flux:

$$-\bigtriangledown \tilde{p}^\varepsilon \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

and at $\tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_+ \cup \tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_-$, we set

$$-\bigtriangledown \tilde{p}^\varepsilon \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = \pm \tilde{V}. \tag{3.7}$$

Finally, we need an initial condition for $\tilde{p}^\varepsilon$ at $t = 0$,

$$\tilde{p}^\varepsilon(x_1, x_2, x_3, 0) = \varepsilon\, \tilde{p}_{\text{in}}(x_1, x_2) \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon. \tag{3.8}$$

Let $\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon) = \{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in H^1(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon; \mathbb{R}^3) : \tilde{\mathbf{v}}|_{\tilde{\Gamma}^\varepsilon} = 0\}$. Then the weak formulation corresponding to (3.1)–(3.8) is given by

Find $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon \in H^1(0, T, \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon))$, $\tilde{p}^\varepsilon \in H^1(0, T; H^1(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon))$ such that it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon} 2\, \mathbf{e}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon) : \mathbf{e}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})\, dx + \tilde{\lambda} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon} \text{div } \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon \text{ div } \tilde{\mathbf{v}}\, dx - \alpha \int_{\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon} \tilde{p}^\varepsilon \text{ div } \tilde{\mathbf{v}}\, dx \\
&= \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_+} \varepsilon^3 \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_+ \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}\, ds + \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_-} \varepsilon^3 \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_- \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}\, ds, \quad \text{for every } \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon) \text{ and } t \in (0, T), && (3.9) \\
&\beta \int_{\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon} \partial_t \tilde{p}^\varepsilon \tilde{q}\, dx + \int_{\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon} \alpha \text{ div } \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon \tilde{q}\, dx + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon} \nabla \tilde{p}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \tilde{q}\, dx \\
&= \varepsilon^2 \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_-} \tilde{V} \tilde{q}\, ds - \varepsilon^2 \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}^\varepsilon_+} \tilde{V} \tilde{q}\, ds, \quad \text{for every } \tilde{q} \in H^1(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon) \text{ and } t \in (0, T), && (3.10) \\
&\tilde{p}^\varepsilon|_{\{t=0\}} = \varepsilon\, \tilde{p}_{\text{in}}, \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon. && (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for two 3×3 matrices A and B the Frobenius scalar product is denoted by $A : B = \text{tr}\,(AB^T)$.

3.2 Existence and uniqueness for the ε-problem

In this subsection we recall the existence and uniqueness of a solution $\{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon, \tilde{p}^\varepsilon\} \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon)) \times H^1(0, T; H^1(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon))$ of the problem (3.9)-(3.11). We follow [16] and get

Proposition 1. *Let us suppose*

$$\tilde{p}_{\text{in}} \in H^2_0(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon),\ \mathcal{P}_\pm \in H^2(0, T; L^2(\omega; \mathbb{R}^3)) \text{ and } \tilde{V} \in H^1(0, T; L^2(\omega)),\ \tilde{V}|_{\{t=0\}} = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Then problem (3.9)–(3.11) has a unique solution $\{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon, \tilde{p}^\varepsilon\} \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{V}(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon))) \times H^1(0, T; H^1(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon))$.

3.3 Problem in Curvilinear Coordinates and the Scaled Problem

Our goal is to find the limits of the solutions of problem (3.9)–(3.11) when ε tends to zero. It is known from similar considerations made for classical shells that asymptotic behavior of the longitudinal and transverse displacements of the elastic body is different. The same effect is expected in the present setting. Therefore we need to consider asymptotic behavior of the local components of the displacement $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon$. It can be done in many ways, but in order to preserve some important properties of bilinear forms, such as positive definiteness and symmetry, we rewrite the equations in curvilinear coordinates defined by $\mathbf{r}$. Then we formulate equivalent problems posed on the domain independent of ε.

The covariant basis of the shell $\overline{\tilde{\Omega}}^\varepsilon$, which is the three-dimensional manifold parameterized by $\mathbf{r}$, is defined by

$$\mathbf{g}_i^\varepsilon = \partial_i \mathbf{r} : \Omega^\varepsilon \to \mathbb{R}^3, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Vectors $\{\mathbf{g}_1^\varepsilon, \mathbf{g}_2^\varepsilon, \mathbf{g}_3^\varepsilon\}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g}_1^\varepsilon &= \mathbf{a}_1(y) + x_3 \partial_{y_1} \mathbf{a}_3(y),\\
\mathbf{g}_2^\varepsilon &= \mathbf{a}_2(y) + x_3 \partial_{y_2} \mathbf{a}_3(y),\\
\mathbf{g}_3^\varepsilon &= \mathbf{a}_3(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Vectors $\left\{\mathbf{g}^{1,\varepsilon}, \mathbf{g}^{2,\varepsilon}, \mathbf{g}^{3,\varepsilon}\right\}$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{g}^{j,\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{g}_i^\varepsilon = \delta_{ij} \text{ on } \overline{\Omega}^\varepsilon, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol, form the contravariant basis on $\overline{\tilde{\Omega}}^\varepsilon$. The contravariant metric tensor $\mathbf{G}^{c,\varepsilon} = (g^{ij,\varepsilon})$, the covariant metric tensor $\mathbf{G}_c^\varepsilon = (g_{ij}^\varepsilon)$ and the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_{jk}^{i,\varepsilon}$ of the shell $\overline{\tilde{\Omega}}^\varepsilon$ are defined by

$$g^{ij,\varepsilon} = \mathbf{g}^{i,\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{g}^{j,\varepsilon}, \quad g_{ij}^\varepsilon = \mathbf{g}_i^\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{g}_j^\varepsilon, \quad \Gamma_{jk}^{i,\varepsilon} = \mathbf{g}^{i,\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_j \mathbf{g}_k^\varepsilon \text{ on } \overline{\Omega}^\varepsilon, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3.$$

We set

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}^{i,\varepsilon} = (\Gamma_{jk}^{i,\varepsilon})_{j,k=1,\dots,3} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T) - \sum_{i=1}^3 v_i \mathbf{\Gamma}^{i,\varepsilon}. \tag{3.13}$$

Let $g^\varepsilon = \det \mathbf{G}_c^\varepsilon$. Until now we were using the canonical basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$, for $\mathbb{R}^3$. Now the displacement is rewritten in the contravariant basis,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon \circ \mathbf{r}(y_1, y_2, x_3) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{u}_i^\varepsilon \circ \mathbf{r}(y_1, y_2, x_3) \mathbf{e}_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i^\varepsilon(y_1, y_2, x_3) \mathbf{g}^{i,\varepsilon}(y_1, y_2, x_3), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \circ \mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^3 v_i \mathbf{g}^{i,\varepsilon},$$

while for scalar fields we just change the coordinates

$$\tilde{p}^\varepsilon \circ \mathbf{r} = p^\varepsilon, \qquad \tilde{q} \circ \mathbf{r} = q, \qquad \tilde{V} \circ \mathbf{r} = V, \qquad \tilde{p}_{\text{in}} \circ \mathbf{r} = p_{\text{in}},$$

on $\overline{\Omega}^\varepsilon$. The contact forces are rewritten in the covariant basis of the shell

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\pm \circ \mathbf{r} = \sum_{i=1}^3 (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_i \mathbf{g}_i^\varepsilon \text{ on } \Sigma_\pm^\varepsilon.$$

New vector functions are defined by

$$\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon = u_i^\varepsilon \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \mathbf{v} = v_i \mathbf{e}_i, \quad \mathcal{P}_\pm = (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_i \mathbf{e}_i.$$

Note that u_i^ε are not components of the physical displacement. They are just intermediate functions which will be used to reconstruct $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon$. The corresponding function space to $\mathcal{V}(\tilde{\Omega}^\varepsilon)$ is the space

$$\mathcal{V}(\Omega^\varepsilon) = \{\mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega^\varepsilon)^3 \ : \ \mathbf{v}|_{\Gamma^\varepsilon} = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon = (\nabla \mathbf{r})^{-T}$ and let

$$\mathcal{C}\mathbf{E} = \tilde{\lambda}(\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{E})\mathbf{I} + 2\mathbf{E}, \quad \text{for all} \quad \mathbf{E} \in M^{3\times 3}_{\text{sym}}. \tag{3.14}$$

Then the problem (3.9)–(3.11) can be written by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon \tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon)(\mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon \tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g^\varepsilon}dy - \alpha \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} p^\varepsilon \operatorname{tr}\big(\mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon \tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon)^T\big)\sqrt{g^\varepsilon}dy \\
&\qquad = \varepsilon^3 \int_{\Sigma_+^\varepsilon} \mathcal{P}_+ \cdot \mathbf{v}\sqrt{g^\varepsilon}ds + \varepsilon^3 \int_{\Sigma_-^\varepsilon} \mathcal{P}_- \cdot \mathbf{v}\sqrt{g^\varepsilon}ds, \qquad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega^\varepsilon), \ \text{a.e. } t \in [0,T], \\
&\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} \beta \frac{\partial p^\varepsilon}{\partial t} q\sqrt{g^\varepsilon}dy + \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\operatorname{tr}\big(\mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon \tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon)(\mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon)^T\big) q\sqrt{g^\varepsilon}dy \\
&\qquad + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} \mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon \nabla p^\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon \nabla q \sqrt{g^\varepsilon}dy = \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Sigma_-^\varepsilon} V q\sqrt{g^\varepsilon}ds - \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Sigma_+^\varepsilon} V q \sqrt{g^\varepsilon}ds, \\
&\qquad q \in H^1(\Omega^\varepsilon), \ \text{a.e. } t \in [0,T], \\
&p^\varepsilon = \varepsilon\, p_{\text{in}}, \qquad \text{for } t = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

This is the problem in curvilinear coordinates.

Problems for all $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^\varepsilon, \tilde{p}^\varepsilon$ and $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon, p^\varepsilon$ are posed on ε–dependent domains. In the sequel we follow the idea from Ciarlet, Destuynder [8] and rewrite (3.15) on the canonical domain independent of ε. As a consequence, the coefficients of the resulting weak formulation will depend on ε explicitly.

Let $\Omega = \omega \times (-1/2, 1/2)$ and let $\mathbf{R}^\varepsilon : \overline{\Omega} \to \overline{\Omega}^\varepsilon$ be defined by

$$\mathbf{R}^\varepsilon(z) = (z^1, z^2, \varepsilon z^3), \quad z \in \Omega, \ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0).$$

By $\Sigma_\pm = \omega \times \{\pm 1/2\}$ we denote the upper and lower face of Ω. Let $\Gamma = \partial\omega \times (-1/2, 1/2)$. To the functions $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon$, p^ε, g^ε, $\mathbf{g}_i^\varepsilon$, $\mathbf{g}^{\varepsilon,i}$, $\mathbf{Q}^\varepsilon$, $\Gamma^{i,\varepsilon}_{jk}$, $i,j,k = 1,2,3$ defined on $\overline{\Omega}^\varepsilon$ we associate the functions $\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)$, $p(\varepsilon)$, $g(\varepsilon)$, $\mathbf{g}_i(\varepsilon)$, $\mathbf{g}^i(\varepsilon)$, $\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)$, $\Gamma^i_{ij}(\varepsilon)$, $i,j,k = 1,2,3$ defined on $\overline{\Omega}$ by composition with $\mathbf{R}^\varepsilon$. Let us also define

$$\mathcal{V}(\Omega) = \{\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3) \ : \ \mathbf{v}|_\Gamma = 0\}.$$

Then the problem (3.15) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon \int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&\qquad - \varepsilon\alpha \int_\Omega p(\varepsilon)\operatorname{tr}\big(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\big)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&= \varepsilon^3 \int_{\Sigma_+} \mathcal{P}_+ \cdot \mathbf{v}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds + \varepsilon^3 \int_{\Sigma_-} \mathcal{P}_- \cdot \mathbf{v}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds, \qquad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega), \ \text{a.e. } t \in [0,T], \\
&\varepsilon \int_\Omega \beta \frac{\partial p(\varepsilon)}{\partial t} q\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz + \varepsilon \int_\Omega \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\operatorname{tr}\big(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\big) q\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&\qquad + \varepsilon^3 \int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon p(\varepsilon) \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon q\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&= \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Sigma_-} V q\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds - \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Sigma_+} V q\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds, \qquad q \in H^1(\Omega), \ \text{a.e. } t \in [0,T], \\
&p(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon\, p_{\text{in}}, \qquad \text{for } t = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Here

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_z(\mathbf{v}) + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_y(\mathbf{v}) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} v_i \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^i(\varepsilon), \tag{3.17}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_z(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\partial_3 v_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\partial_3 v_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}\partial_3 v_1 & \frac{1}{2}\partial_3 v_2 & \partial_3 v_3 \end{bmatrix}, \ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_y(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1 v_1 & \frac{1}{2}(\partial_2 v_1 + \partial_1 v_2) & \frac{1}{2}\partial_1 v_3 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\partial_2 v_1 + \partial_1 v_2) & \partial_2 v_2 & \frac{1}{2}\partial_2 v_3 \\ \frac{1}{2}\partial_1 v_3 & \frac{1}{2}\partial_2 v_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\nabla^\varepsilon q = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\nabla_z q + \nabla_y q, \qquad \nabla_z q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \partial_3 q \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \nabla_y q = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1 q & \partial_2 q & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We assume for simplicity that $p_{\text{in}} = 0$ and proceed with asymptotic analysis. We start by rescaling the pressure

$$\pi(\varepsilon) = \frac{p(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}, \qquad q = \frac{q}{\varepsilon}.$$

The equations are now

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon \int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\ &\qquad - \varepsilon^2 \alpha \int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)\mathrm{tr}\big(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\big)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\ &= \varepsilon^3 \int_{\Sigma_\pm} \mathcal{P}_\pm \cdot \mathbf{v}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds, \qquad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0,T], \\ &\varepsilon^3 \int_\Omega \beta \frac{\partial \pi(\varepsilon)}{\partial t} q\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz + \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathrm{tr}\big(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\big) q\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\ &\qquad + \varepsilon^5 \int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon \pi(\varepsilon) \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon q\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz = \mp\varepsilon^3 \int_{\Sigma_\pm} Vq\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds, \\ &\qquad q \in H^1(\Omega), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0,T], \\ &p(\varepsilon) = 0, \qquad \text{for } t = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Here and in the sequel we use the notation

$$\mp \int_{\Sigma_\pm} Vq\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds = \int_{\Sigma_-} Vq\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds - \int_{\Sigma_+} Vq\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds,$$

$$\int_{\Sigma_\pm} \mathcal{P}_\pm \cdot \mathbf{v}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds = \int_{\Sigma_+} \mathcal{P}_+ \cdot \mathbf{v}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds + \int_{\Sigma_-} \mathcal{P}_- \cdot \mathbf{v}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds.$$

Remark 2. Existence and uniqueness of a smooth solution to problem (3.18) follows from Proposition 1 and the smoothness of the curvilinear coordinates transformation.

3.4 Convergence results

In the remainder of the paper we make the following assumptions

Assumption 3. *For simplicity, we assume that* $p_{in} = 0$*, that* $V \in H^1(0,T;L^2(\omega))$*,* $V|_{\{t=0\}} = 0$ *and that* $\mathcal{P}_\pm \in H^2(0,T;L^2(\omega;\mathbb{R}^3))$*, with* $\mathcal{P}_\pm|_{\{t=0\}} = 0$.

We recall that the differential operators $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ are given by (2.5) and (2.6), respectively. Let

$$\mathcal{V}_F(\omega) = \{\mathbf{v} \in H^1(\omega) \times H^1(\omega) \times H^2(\omega) : \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{v}) = 0, \mathbf{v}|_{\partial\omega} = 0, \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial \boldsymbol{\nu}}|_{\partial\omega} = 0\}. \tag{3.19}$$

We will suppose the classical hypothesis that leads to the "flexural shell" models:

$$\mathcal{V}_F(\omega) \neq \{0\}. \tag{3.20}$$

Let us formulate the boundary value problem in $\Omega = \omega \times (-1/2, 1/2)$ for the effective displacement and the effective pressure:

Find $\{\mathbf{u}, \pi^0\} \in C([0,T]; \mathcal{V}_F(\omega) \times L^2(\Omega))$, $\partial_{z_3}\pi^0 \in L^2((0,T)\times\Omega)$ satisfying the system

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{12}\int_\omega \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})) : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{A}^c\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 + \frac{2\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\int_\omega\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} z_3\pi^0 dz_3\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 \\ = \int_\omega (\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)\cdot\mathbf{v}\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2, \qquad \mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{V}_F(\omega).\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)\pi^0 q\sqrt{a}dz - \int_\Omega \frac{2\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial t})z_3 q\sqrt{a}dz + \int_\Omega \frac{\partial\pi^0}{\partial z_3}\frac{\partial q}{\partial z_3}\sqrt{a}dz \\ = \mp\int_{\Sigma_\pm} Vq\sqrt{a}\; dz_1dz_2 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(0,T), \qquad q\in H^1(-1/2,1/2;L^2(\omega)),\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

$$\pi^0 = 0 \qquad \text{at} \quad t = 0, \tag{3.23}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\cdot)$ are given by (2.5) and (2.6), respectively, and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}\mathbf{E} = 2\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,(\mathbf{E})\mathbf{I} + 2\mathbf{E}, \qquad \mathbf{E}\in M^{2\times2}_{\mathrm{sym}}. \tag{3.24}$$

Proposition 4. *Under Assumption 3, problem (3.21)–(3.23) has a unique solution* $\{\mathbf{u},\pi^0\} \in C([0,T];\mathcal{V}_F(\omega)\times L^2(\Omega))$, $\partial_{z_3}\pi^0 \in L^2((0,T)\times\Omega)$ *Furthermore,* $\partial_t\pi^0_3 \in L^2((0,T)\times\Omega)$ *and* $\partial_t\mathbf{u} \in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_F(\omega)))$.

Proof. First we prove that $\{\mathbf{u},\pi^0\} \in C([0,T];\mathcal{V}_F(\omega)\times L^2(\Omega))$ and $\partial_{z_3}\pi^0 \in L^2((0,T)\times\Omega)$ imply a higher regularity in time:

Let us take $q = z_3\overline{q}(z_1,z_2)$, $\overline{q}\in C^\infty(\overline{\omega})$ as a test function in (3.22). It yields

$$F = \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} z_3\pi^0\; dz_3 - \frac{1}{6}\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) \in H^1(0,T;L^2(\omega)). \tag{3.25}$$

After inserting (3.25) into (3.21), it takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{12}\int_\omega \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})) : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{A}^c\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 + \frac{c_1^\beta}{3}\int_\omega \mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 \\ = \int_\omega (\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)\cdot\mathbf{v}\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 - c_2^\beta\int_\omega F(t)\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2, \qquad \mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{V}_F(\omega),\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

with $c_\beta^1 = \alpha^2/(\tilde{\lambda}+2)/\big(\beta(\tilde{\lambda}+2)+\alpha^2\big)$ and $c_\beta^2 = 2\alpha/(\beta(\tilde{\lambda}+2)+\alpha^2)$. Taking the time derivative and using the time regularity of F and $\mathcal{P}_\pm$, yields $\partial_t\mathbf{u}\in L^2(0,T;\mathcal{V}_F(\omega))$. For such $\mathbf{u}$ classical regularity theory for the second order linear parabolic equations applied at (3.26) implies $\partial_t\pi^0 \in L^2((0,T)\times\Omega)$.

The existence and the uniqueness are based on the energy estimate. If we choose $\mathbf{v} = \dfrac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial t}$ as a test function for equation (3.21) and π^0 as a test function in (3.22) and sum up the equations to obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big\{\frac{1}{12}\int_\omega \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})) : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 + \int_\Omega \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)(\pi^0)^2\sqrt{a}dz \\ - 2\int_\omega (\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)\cdot\mathbf{u}\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2\Big\} + \int_\Omega \left(\frac{\partial\pi^0}{\partial z_3}\right)^2\sqrt{a}dzdt \\ = -\int_\omega \partial_t(\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)\cdot\mathbf{u}\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 \mp \int_{\Sigma_\pm} V\pi^0\sqrt{a}\; dz_1dz_2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Equality (3.27) implies uniqueness of solutions to problem (3.21)–(3.23). Concerning existence, equality (3.27) allows to obtain the uniform bounds for $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})$ in $L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{V}_F(\omega))$, for π^0 in $L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ and for $\partial_{z_3}\pi^0$ in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$. Using [6, Teorem 4.3-4.] and the classical weak compactness reasoning, we conclude the existence of at least one solution. □

Remark 5. *Let* $\overline{\beta} = \beta + \dfrac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}$. *Using separation of variables, we obtain the formulas*

$$\pi^0(t,z_1,z_2,z_3) = -Vz_3 - \frac{4}{\overline{\beta}\pi^2}\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\frac{(-1)^j}{(2j-1)^2}\Big(\int_0^t \exp\{-\frac{\pi^2(2j-1)^2(t-\tau)}{\overline{\beta}}\}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\big(\overline{\beta}V(\tau,z_1,z_2) + \frac{2\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}(\tau,z_1,z_2))\big)\, d\tau\Big)\sin((2j-1)\pi z_3), \tag{3.28}$$

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} z_3\pi^0\, dz_3 = -\frac{V}{12} + \frac{8}{\pi^4\overline{\beta}}\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{(2j-1)^4}\int_0^t \exp\{-\frac{\pi^2(2j-1)^2(t-\tau)}{\overline{\beta}}\}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\big(\overline{\beta}V(\tau,z_1,z_2) + \frac{2\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}(\tau,z_1,z_2))\big)\, d\tau. \tag{3.29}$$

After plugging formula (3.29) into equation (3.21), we observe memory effects in the flexion equation.

The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let us suppose Assumption 3. Let* $\{\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon),\pi(\varepsilon)\} \in H^1(0,T;\mathcal{V}(\Omega))\times H^1(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$ *be the unique solution of (3.18) and let* $\{\mathbf{u},\pi^0\}$ *be the unique solution for (3.21)–(3.23). Then we obtain*

$$\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon) \to \mathbf{u} \qquad \textit{strongly in } C([0,T];H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)),$$
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)) \to \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \qquad \textit{strongly in } C([0,T];L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})),$$
$$\pi(\varepsilon) \to \pi^0 \qquad \textit{strongly in } C([0,T];L^2(\Omega)),$$
$$\frac{\partial\pi(\varepsilon)}{\partial z_3} \to \frac{\partial\pi^0}{\partial z_3} \qquad \textit{strongly in } L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)),$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} -z_3\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) & \begin{array}{c}0\\0\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cc}0 & 0\end{array} & \dfrac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 + z_3\dfrac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) \end{array}\right]. \tag{3.30}$$

As a consequence of the convergence of the term $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))$, we obtain the convergence of the scaled stress tensor.

Corollary 7. *For the stress tensor* $\sigma(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T) - \alpha p(\varepsilon)\mathbf{I}$ *one has*

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sigma(\varepsilon) \to \sigma = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T) - \alpha\pi^0\mathbf{I} \qquad \textit{strongly in } C([0,T];L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})). \tag{3.31}$$

The limit stress in the local contravariant basis $\mathbf{Q} = (\boldsymbol{a}^1\ \boldsymbol{a}^2\ \boldsymbol{a}^3)$ *is given by*

$$\mathbf{Q}^T\sigma\mathbf{Q} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} -\dfrac{2\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0\mathbf{A}^c - z_3\left(\dfrac{2\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}(\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}))\mathbf{I} + 2\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\right)\mathbf{A}^c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right].$$

4 A priori estimates

Fundamental for a priori estimates for thin shell-like bodies is the following three-dimensional inequality of Korn's type for a family of linearly elastic shells.

Theorem 8 ([7, Theorem 5.3-1], [10, Theorem 4.1])**.** *Assume that* $\mathbf{X} \in C^3(\overline{\omega};\mathbb{R}^3)$. *Then there exist constants* $\varepsilon_0 > 0, C > 0$ *such that for all* $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$ *one has*

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\|\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})}, \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega).$$

Remark 9. Only a portion of the boundary with positive surface has to be clamped for the statement of the theorem to hold.

Now we state the asymptotic properties of the coefficients in the equation (3.18). Direct calculation shows that there are constants m_g, M_g, independent of $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$, such that for all $z \in \Omega$,

$$m_g \leq \sqrt{g(\varepsilon)} \leq M_g. \tag{4.1}$$

The functions $\boldsymbol{g}^i(\varepsilon), \boldsymbol{g}_i(\varepsilon), g^{ij}(\varepsilon), g(\varepsilon), \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon), \Gamma^i_{jk}(\varepsilon)$ are in $C(\overline{\Omega})$ by assumptions. Moreover, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\boldsymbol{g}^i(\varepsilon) - \boldsymbol{a}^i\|_\infty + \|\boldsymbol{g}_i(\varepsilon) - \boldsymbol{a}_i\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon,\\
&\|\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}\|_\infty + \|\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)} - \sqrt{a}\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon,\\
&\|\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon) - \mathbf{Q} - \varepsilon z_3 \mathbf{Q}^1\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon^2, \quad \mathbf{Q}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 b^1_\sigma \boldsymbol{a}^\sigma & \sum_{\sigma=1}^2 b^2_\sigma \boldsymbol{a}^\sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix},\\
&\|\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\Gamma^i_{jk}(\varepsilon) - \Gamma^i_{jk}(0)\right) - z_3\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}\Gamma^i_{jk}\right)(0)\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the norm in $C(\overline{\Omega})$. For proof see [9]. Additionally, in [7, Theorem 3.3-1] the asymptotic behaviour of the Christoffel symbols in L^∞-norm is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^\kappa(\varepsilon) = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma^\kappa_{11} - \varepsilon z_3 b^\kappa_1|_1 & \Gamma^\kappa_{12} - \varepsilon z_3 b^\kappa_2|_1 & -b^\kappa_1 - \varepsilon z_3 \sum_{\tau=1}^2 b^\tau_1 b^\kappa_\tau \\ \Gamma^\kappa_{21} - \varepsilon z_3 b^\kappa_1|_2 & \Gamma^\kappa_{22} - \varepsilon z_3 b^\kappa_2|_2 & -b^\kappa_2 - \varepsilon z_3 \sum_{\tau=1}^2 b^\tau_2 b^\kappa_\tau \\ -b^\kappa_1 - \varepsilon z_3 \sum_{\tau=1}^2 b^\tau_1 b^\kappa_\tau & -b^\kappa_2 - \varepsilon z_3 \sum_{\tau=1}^2 b^\tau_2 b^\kappa_\tau & 0 \end{bmatrix} + O(\varepsilon^2), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\kappa = 1, 2$ and

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^3(\varepsilon) = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} - \varepsilon z_3 \sum_{\kappa=1}^2 b^\kappa_1 b_{\kappa 1} & b_{12} - \varepsilon z_3 \sum_{\kappa=1}^2 b^\kappa_1 b_{\kappa 2} & 0 \\ b_{21} - \varepsilon z_3 \sum_{\kappa=1}^2 b^\kappa_2 b_{\kappa 1} & b_{22} - \varepsilon z_3 \sum_{\kappa=1}^2 b^\kappa_2 b_{\kappa 2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4.4}$$

In the following two lemmas we derive the a priori estimates in a classical way.

Lemma 10. *There is* $C > 0$ *and* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *such that for all* $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$ *one has*

$$\|\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}))}, \|\pi(\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}))}, \|\varepsilon\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C.$$

Proof. We set $\mathbf{v} = \dfrac{\partial \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)}{\partial t}$ and $q = \pi(\varepsilon)$ in (3.18) and sum up the equations. After noticing that the pressure term from the first equation cancels with the compression term from the second equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\beta\varepsilon^3 \frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz + \varepsilon^5 \int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon \pi(\varepsilon)\cdot \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&= \varepsilon^3 \int_{\Sigma_\pm} \mathcal{P}_\pm \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds \mp \varepsilon^3 \int_{\Sigma_\pm} V\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Dividing the equation by ε^3 and using the product rule for derivatives with respect to time on the right hand side we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz + \beta\int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz\right) \\
&\qquad + \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon \pi(\varepsilon)\cdot \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Sigma_\pm} \mathcal{P}_\pm \cdot \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds - \int_{\Sigma_\pm} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_\pm}{\partial t}\cdot \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds \mp \int_{\Sigma_\pm} V\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the Newton-Leibniz formula for the right hand side terms and the notation

$$\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)z_3 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_+ - \mathcal{P}_-}{2}, \qquad \mathcal{V} = 2Vz_3$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz + \beta\int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz\right) \\
&\qquad + \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon \pi(\varepsilon)\cdot \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}(\mathcal{P}\cdot \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)})dz - \int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial t}\cdot \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}\right)dz \\
&\qquad - \int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\left(\mathcal{V}\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}\right)dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we integrate this equality over time

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz + \frac{1}{2}\beta\int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&\qquad + \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t\int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon \pi(\varepsilon)\cdot \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dzd\tau \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)|_{t=0})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)|_{t=0})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz + \beta\int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)^2|_{t=0}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz\right) \\
&\qquad + \int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}(\mathcal{P}\cdot \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)})dz - \int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}(\mathcal{P}|_{t=0}\cdot \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)|_{t=0}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)})dz \\
&\qquad - \int_0^t\int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial t}\cdot \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}\right)dzd\tau - \int_0^t\int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\left(\mathcal{V}\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}\right)dzd\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Since we have enough regularity for $\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)$ we consider (3.18) for $t=0$. Then $\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)|_{t=0}$ satisfies: for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)|_{t=0})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\alpha\int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)|_{t=0}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz = \int_{\Sigma_\pm}\mathcal{P}_\pm|_{t=0}\cdot\mathbf{v}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the initial condition is $\pi(\varepsilon)|_{t=0}=0$ this equation is a classical 3D equation of shell-like body in curvilinear coordinates rescaled on the canonical domain. Next, $\mathcal{P}_\pm|_{t=0}=0$ and the classical theory (see Ciarlet [7]) yields $\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)|_{t=0}=0$. Using Korn's inequality, positivity of $\mathcal{C}$ and uniform positivity of $\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)$ and $g(\varepsilon)$ in (4.6) yields the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz + \frac{1}{2}\beta\int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&\qquad + \varepsilon^2\int_0^t\int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\cdot\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dzd\tau \le C.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is positive definite and since $g(\varepsilon)$ is uniformly positive definite (see [7, Theorem 3.3-1]) we obtain the following uniform bounds

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}))},\ \|\pi(\varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))},\ \|\varepsilon\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))}.
$$

Since $\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)$ is uniformly positive definite these estimates imply uniform bounds for

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}))} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varepsilon\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))}.
$$

Applying the uniform bounds for $\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)$ once again implies the statement of the lemma.

□

We now first take the time derivative of the first equation in (3.18) and then insert $\mathbf{v} = \dfrac{\partial\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)}{\partial t}$ as a test function. Then we take $q = \frac{\partial\pi(\varepsilon)}{\partial t}$ as a test function in the second equation in (3.18) and sum up the equations. The following equality holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_0^T\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)}{\partial t})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)}{\partial t})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dzd\tau \\
&\qquad + \beta\int_0^T\int_\Omega \frac{\partial\pi(\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\pi(\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dzd\tau + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2\int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\cdot\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \qquad (4.7)\\
&= \int_0^T\int_{\Sigma_\pm}\frac{\partial\mathcal{P}_\pm}{\partial t}\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dsd\tau \mp \int_0^T\int_{\Sigma_\pm} V\frac{\partial\pi(\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dsd\tau.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly as in Lemma 10 from this equality we obtain

Lemma 11. *There is* $C>0$ *and* $\varepsilon_0>0$ *such that for all* $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$ *one has*

$$
\|\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)}{\partial t})\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}))},\|\frac{\partial\pi(\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}))},\|\varepsilon\nabla^\varepsilon\pi^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C.
$$

As a consequence of the scaled Korn's inequality from Theorem 8 we obtain

Corollary 12. *Let us suppose Assumption 3 and let* $\{\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon), \pi(\varepsilon)\}$ *be the solution for problem (3.18). Then there is* $C > 0$ *and* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *such that for all* $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ *one has*

$$\|\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\|_{H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times3}))}, \quad \|\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)\|_{H^1(0,T;H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))}, \quad \|\pi(\varepsilon)\|_{H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}))},$$
$$\|\frac{\partial \pi(\varepsilon)}{\partial z_3}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}))} \le C.$$

Furthermore, there are $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(0,T;H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))$, $\pi^0 \in H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}))$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \in H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times3}))$ *such that on a subsequence one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } H^1(0,T;H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)),\\
&\pi(\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup \pi^0 \text{ weakly in } H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})),\\
&\frac{\partial \pi(\varepsilon)}{\partial z_3} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial z_3} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})) \quad \text{and weak * in} \quad L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R})),\\
&\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)) \rightharpoonup \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \text{ weakly in } H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times3})).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Since $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))$ depends on $\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)$ one expects that the limits $\mathbf{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0$ are related. The following theorem gives the precise relationship. It is fundamental for obtaining the limit model in the classical flexural shell derivation as well as in the present derivation, see [10]. The tensor $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is the linearized change of metric tensor and $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is linearized change of curvature tensor. They usually appear in shell theories as strain tensors.

Theorem 13 ([7, Theorem 5.2-2], [10, Lemma 3.3])**.** *For any* $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$, *let* $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}) \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times3})$ *and let the tensors* $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{v}), \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})$ *belong to* $L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{2\times2}), H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{2\times2})$, *respectively. Let the family* $\{\mathbf{w}(\varepsilon)\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{w}(\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{w} \text{ weakly in } H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3),\\
&\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{w}(\varepsilon)) \rightharpoonup \tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)
\end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. *Then the limit function* $\mathbf{w}$ *is independent of transverse variable* z_3, *belongs to* $H^1(\omega) \times H^1(\omega) \times H^2(\omega)$, *satisfies the clamping boundary conditions*

$$\mathbf{w}|_{\partial\omega} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial w_3}{\partial \boldsymbol{\nu}}|_{\partial\omega} = 0$$

and the following conditions

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{w}) = 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{w}) \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{2\times2}) \text{ and } \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial z_3} = -\rho_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{w}).$$

If in addition there is $\boldsymbol{\chi} \in H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{2\times2})$ *such that as* $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{w}(\varepsilon)) \to \boldsymbol{\chi} \text{ strongly in } H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{2\times2}),$$

then

$$\mathbf{w}(\varepsilon) \to \mathbf{w} \text{ strongly in } H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{w}) = \boldsymbol{\chi} \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{2\times2}).$$

Remark 14. The estimates from Lemma 10 and Lemma 11 yield uniform boundedness of $\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)$ in $C^{0,1/2}([0,T],\mathcal{V}(\Omega))$, $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))$ in $C^{0,1/2}([0,T];L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})])$ and $\pi(\varepsilon)$ in $C^{0,1/2}([0,T];L^2(\Omega))$. Hence by Corollary 12 and Aubin-Lions lemma (see [21]), there is a subsequence such that the $\{\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)\}$ converges to $\mathbf{u}$ also in $C([0,T];L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))$.

Let $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varphi_\delta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|\varphi - \varphi_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \delta$. Next

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T} \Big|\int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\big(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)(t) - \mathbf{u}(t)\big)\varphi\, dx\Big| \\
&\quad\le \sup_{0\le t\le T} \Big|\int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\big(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)(t) - \mathbf{u}(t)\big)(\varphi-\varphi_\delta)\, dx\Big| + \sup_{0\le t\le T} \Big|\int_\Omega \frac{\partial \varphi_\delta}{\partial x_i}\big(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)(t) - \mathbf{u}(t)\big)\, dx\Big| \quad (4.9)\\
&\quad\le C\delta \|\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)-\mathbf{u}\|_{C([0,T];H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))} + \Big\|\frac{\partial \varphi_\delta}{\partial x_i}\Big\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)-\mathbf{u}\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))} \le \overline{C}\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

for $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0(\delta)$. Therefore

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \sup_{0\le t\le T} \Big|\int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\big(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)(t) - \mathbf{u}(t)\big)\varphi\, dx\Big| \le \overline{C}\delta,$$

which yields

$$\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)(t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}(t) \text{ weakly in } H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3) \qquad \text{for every} \quad t\in[0,T]. \tag{4.10}$$

Argument for the sequences $\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\}$ and $\{\pi(\varepsilon)\}$ is analogous and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi(\varepsilon)(t) \rightharpoonup \pi^0(t) \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega),\\
&\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))(t) \rightharpoonup \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0(t) \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})
\end{aligned}$$

for every $t\in[0,T]$.

Thus we may apply Theorem 13, with $\mathbf{w}(\varepsilon) = \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)(t)$, for each $t\in[0,T]$ and conclude that the limit points of $\{\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)(t)\}$ belong to $\mathcal{V}_F(\omega)$.

Moreover we conclude that

$$\gamma^0_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} - z_3\rho_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{u}),$$

where $\overline{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}$ do not depend on z_3. We denote $\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = [\overline{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta=1,2}$.

5 Derivation of the limit model

In this section we derive a two-dimensional model. We obtain it in five steps. In the first two we take the limit in (3.18) for special choices of test function. In this way we additionally specify the limits $\mathbf{u}, \pi^0, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0$ and the equations they satisfy. The part $\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0$, which is independent of z_3, is identified in Step 3 by techniques usually applied in the proof of strong convergence of strain tensors in the classic shell models derivations. In Step 4 we prove the strong convergence of displacements, while in Step 5 we prove the strong convergence of stress tensors.

Step 1 (Identification of γ^0_{i3}). Now we are in a position to take the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (3.18) with the first equation divided by ε:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\varepsilon\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz\\
&\qquad - \alpha\int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\varepsilon\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz = \varepsilon^2\int_{\Sigma_\pm} \mathcal{P}_\pm\cdot \mathbf{v}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds,\\
&\qquad \mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{V}(\Omega), t\in[0,T].
\end{aligned}$$

In the limit we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(0)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}(0)^T\right):\left(\mathbf{Q}(0)\boldsymbol{\gamma}_z(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}(0)^T\right)\sqrt{g(0)}dz - \alpha\int_\Omega \pi^0\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}(0)\boldsymbol{\gamma}_z(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}(0)^T\right)\sqrt{g(0)}dz = 0,$$
$$\mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{V}(\Omega), t\in[0,T],$$

which, using $\mathbf{Q}(0)=\mathbf{Q}$ and $g(0)=a$, yields

$$\int_\Omega \left(\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right) - \alpha\pi^0\mathbf{I}\right):\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_z(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}^T\right)\sqrt{a}dz = 0, \quad \mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{V}(\Omega),\ \text{a.e. } t\in[0,T].$$

From the definition of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_z$ and the function space $\mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ we obtain

$$\left(\mathbf{Q}^T\left(\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right) - \alpha\pi^0\mathbf{I}\right)\mathbf{Q}\right)_{i3} = 0, \qquad i=1,2,3.$$

This implies

$$\left(\left(\tilde{\lambda}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right) - \alpha\pi^0\right)\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q} + 2\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\right)_{i3} = 0, \qquad i=1,2,3.$$

Since

$$\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^c & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{5.1}$$

we obtain expressions for the third column of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0$ in terms of the remaining elements

$$(\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0)_{13} = (\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0)_{23} = \tilde{\lambda}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\right) - \alpha\pi^0 + 2\gamma^0_{33} = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

The first two equations imply that

$$\mathbf{A}^c\begin{bmatrix} \gamma^0_{13} \\ \gamma^0_{23} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

and since $\mathbf{A}^c$ is positive definite we obtain that $\gamma^0_{13}=\gamma^0_{31}=\gamma^0_{23}=\gamma^0_{32}=0$. From the third equation in (5.2) we obtain

$$\tilde{\lambda}\mathbf{A}^c:\begin{bmatrix} \gamma^0_{11} & \gamma^0_{12} \\ \gamma^0_{12} & \gamma^0_{22} \end{bmatrix} - \alpha\pi^0 + (\tilde{\lambda}+2)\gamma^0_{33} = 0.$$

Thus we have obtained the following result.

Lemma 15.

$$\gamma^0_{13}=\gamma^0_{31}=\gamma^0_{23}=\gamma^0_{32}=0,$$
$$\gamma^0_{33} = \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c:\begin{bmatrix} \gamma^0_{11} & \gamma^0_{12} \\ \gamma^0_{12} & \gamma^0_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this lemma and Theorem 13 we have that $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0$ is of the following form

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) & \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \\ 0 \quad 0 & \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c:(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Step 2 (Taking the second limit). Let $\mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{V}_F(\omega)$, where $\mathcal{V}_F(\omega)$ is given in (3.19), and let $\mathbf{v}^1$ be given by

$$v^1_1(z) = -(\partial_1 v_3 + 2v_1 b^1_1 + 2v_2 b^2_1)z_3,$$
$$v^1_2(z) = -(\partial_2 v_3 + 2v_1 b^1_2 + 2v_2 b^2_2)z_3,$$
$$v^1_3(z) = 0.$$

Then

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_z(\mathbf{v}) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_z(\mathbf{v}^1) + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_y(\mathbf{v}) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} v_i \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^i(0) = 0$$

and $\mathbf{v}(\varepsilon) = \mathbf{v} + \varepsilon \mathbf{v}^1 \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$.

A simple calculation shows that $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}(\varepsilon)) = \boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v}) + \varepsilon \mathbf{G}$, where

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v}) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_y(\mathbf{v}^1) - \sum_{i=1}^{2} v_i^1 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^i(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} v_i (z_3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^i\right)(0))$$

and $\mathbf{G}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\overline{\omega}; \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})$. For the test function $\mathbf{v}(\varepsilon)$ equation (3.18) now reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&\qquad - \alpha \int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz = \int_{\Sigma_\pm} \mathcal{P}_\pm \cdot \mathbf{v}(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds, \\
&\qquad \mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\omega;\mathbb{R}^3), t \in [0,T], \\
&\int_\Omega \beta \frac{\partial \pi(\varepsilon)}{\partial t} q \sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz + \int_\Omega \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) q\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&\qquad + \varepsilon^2 \int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon \pi(\varepsilon) \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon q \sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz = \mp \int_{\Sigma_\pm} V q \sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}ds, \\
&\qquad q \in H^1(\Omega), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0,T].
\end{aligned}$$

In the limit when $\varepsilon \to 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}^T\right)\sqrt{a}dz - \alpha \int_\Omega \pi^0\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}^T\right)\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\qquad = \int_\omega (\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)\cdot \mathbf{v}\sqrt{a}ds, \qquad \mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\omega;\mathbb{R}^3), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0,T], \\
&\int_\Omega \beta \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial t} q\sqrt{a}dz + \int_\Omega \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right) q\sqrt{a}dz + \int_\Omega \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial z_3}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}_3 \cdot \frac{\partial q}{\partial z_3}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}_3\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\qquad = \mp \int_{\Sigma_\pm} V q\sqrt{a}ds, \qquad q \in H^1(\Omega), t \in [0,T].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Note that $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}_3 \cdot \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{e}_3 = 1$.

According to Lemma 20 (in the Appendix) one has

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v}) = -z_3 \left[\begin{array}{cc|c}
\multicolumn{2}{c}{\multirow{2}{*}{$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})$}} & \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b_1^\kappa(\partial_\kappa v_3 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{2} v_\tau b_\kappa^\tau) \\
 & & \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b_2^\kappa(\partial_\kappa v_3 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{2} v_\tau b_\kappa^\tau) \\
\sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b_1^\kappa(\partial_\kappa v_3 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{2} v_\tau b_\kappa^\tau) & \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b_2^\kappa(\partial_\kappa v_3 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{2} v_\tau b_\kappa^\tau) & 0
\end{array}\right].$$

Thus, using (5.1) we obtain

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}^T\right) = \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})\right) = -z_3 \mathbf{A}^c{:}\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v}). \tag{5.4}$$

Next, using Lemma 15 and Remark 14, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\right) &= \mathbf{A}^c\colon\begin{bmatrix} \gamma^0_{11} & \gamma^0_{12} \\ \gamma^0_{12} & \gamma^0_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \gamma^0_{33} \\
&= \mathbf{A}^c\colon\begin{bmatrix} \gamma^0_{11} & \gamma^0_{12} \\ \gamma^0_{12} & \gamma^0_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c\colon\begin{bmatrix} \gamma^0_{11} & \gamma^0_{12} \\ \gamma^0_{12} & \gamma^0_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c\colon\begin{bmatrix} \gamma^0_{11} & \gamma^0_{12} \\ \gamma^0_{12} & \gamma^0_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 \\
&= \frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c\colon\begin{bmatrix} \overline{\gamma}_{11} & \overline{\gamma}_{12} \\ \overline{\gamma}_{12} & \overline{\gamma}_{22} \end{bmatrix} - z_3\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c\colon\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Further, using (5.1) and Lemma 15 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^c(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}))\mathbf{A}^c & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^0_{33} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^c(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}))\mathbf{A}^c & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c\colon(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})) \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

The main elastic term is now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}^T\right)\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\quad = \int_\Omega \tilde{\lambda}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right)\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}^T\right) + 2\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\colon\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}^T\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\quad = \int_\Omega \tilde{\lambda}\left(\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c\colon\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c\colon\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0\right)\left(-z_3\mathbf{A}^c\colon\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\right)\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\qquad + \int_\Omega 2\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\colon\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\quad = \int_\Omega (z_3)^2\frac{2\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c\colon\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c\colon\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{\tilde{\lambda}\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0\left(-z_3\mathbf{A}^c\colon\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\right)\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\qquad + \int_\Omega 2(z_3)^2\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c\colon\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\sqrt{a}dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the tensor $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, defined by (3.24), the elastic term can now be written by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{Q}^T\right)\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{12}\int_\omega \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})) : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{A}^c\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 + \int_\Omega \frac{\tilde{\lambda}\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0\left(-z_3\mathbf{A}^c\colon\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\right)\sqrt{a}dz.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

The first equation from (5.3) now becomes: for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_F(\omega)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{12}\int_\omega \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})) : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{A}^c\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 + \int_\Omega \frac{\tilde{\lambda}\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0\left(-z_3\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\right)\sqrt{a}dz \\
- \alpha\int_\Omega \pi^0\left(-z_3\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\right)\sqrt{a}dz = \int_\omega (\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)\cdot\mathbf{v}\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{12}\int_\omega \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}))\colon\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{A}^c\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 + \frac{2\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\int_\omega\left(\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} z_3\pi^0dz_3\right)\mathbf{A}^c\colon\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 \\
= \int_\omega (\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)\cdot\mathbf{v}\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2, \qquad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_F(\omega).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

The matrix $\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ does not appear in (5.8) and is not important in the classical shell theory. However this is not the case here since the term $\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ appears in the second equation in (5.3). It will turn out to be 0 in the proof of the strong convergence, see Step 3. The equation (5.8) appears in the classical flexural shell model without the pressure π^0 term.

The second equation in (5.3) can be now written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \beta \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial t} q\sqrt{a}dz + \int_\Omega \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c : \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0\right) q\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\qquad + \int_\Omega \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial z_3}\frac{\partial q}{\partial z_3}\sqrt{a}dz = \mp\int_{\Sigma_\pm} Vq\sqrt{a}ds, \qquad q \in H^1(\Omega).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)\frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial t} q\sqrt{a}dz + \int_\Omega \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c{:}\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c{:}\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\right) q\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\qquad + \int_\Omega \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial z_3}\frac{\partial q}{\partial z_3}\sqrt{a}dz = \mp\int_{\Sigma_\pm} Vq\sqrt{a}ds, \qquad q \in H^1(\Omega).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

A flexural poroelastic shell model will follow from (5.8), (5.9) once $\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ is determined.

Remark 16. *Let us set*

$$P^G = \pi^0 + \frac{2\alpha}{\beta(\tilde{\lambda}+2)+\alpha^2}\mathbf{A}^c : \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$$

Then the couple $\{\mathbf{u}, P^G\}$ *satisfies the system*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{12}\int_\omega \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})) : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{A}^c\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 + \frac{2\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\int_\omega \left(\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} z_3 P^G dz_3\right)\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 \\
&\qquad = \int_\omega (\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)\cdot \mathbf{v}\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2, \qquad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_F(\omega).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)\frac{\partial P^G}{\partial t} q\sqrt{a}dz - \int_\Omega \alpha z_3\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c : \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) q\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\qquad + \int_\Omega \frac{\partial P^G}{\partial z_3}\frac{\partial q}{\partial z_3}\sqrt{a}dz = \mp\int_{\Sigma_\pm} Vq\sqrt{a}ds, \qquad q \in H^1(\Omega).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Analogously to Section 3.4, we prove that system (5.10)–(5.11) has a unique solution. It yields convergence of the whole sequence $\{\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)\}$*. Unfortunately, it is still not enough to have conclusions for* $\{\pi(\varepsilon)\}$*.*

Step 3 (Identification of $\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ and the strong convergence of the strain tensor and the pressure). We start with

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(\varepsilon)(t) = &\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))(t) - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0(t)\right)\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) \\
&: \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))(t) - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0(t)\right)\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{2}\beta\int_\Omega (\pi(\varepsilon)(t) - \pi^0(t))^2\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&+ \varepsilon^2\int_0^t\int_\Omega (\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon) - \nabla^\varepsilon\pi^0)\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T \cdot (\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon) - \nabla^\varepsilon\pi^0)\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz.
\end{aligned}$$

We will show that $\Lambda(\varepsilon) \to \Lambda$ as ε tends to zero. Since $\Lambda(\varepsilon) \geq 0$ the $\Lambda \geq 0$ as well. After some calculation we will show that actually $\Lambda = 0$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = 0$. This will imply the strong convergence in (4.8).

Since we have only weak convergences in (4.8) we first remove quadratic terms in $\Lambda(\varepsilon)$ using (4.5) divided by ε^3. Integration of (4.5) over time, using $\pi(\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = 0$ and $\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = 0$, implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\beta\int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz + \varepsilon^2\int_0^t\int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\cdot\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dzd\tau \\
&= \int_0^t\int_{\Sigma_\pm}\mathcal{P}_\pm\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dsd\tau \mp \int_0^t\int_{\Sigma_\pm} V\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dsd\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into the definition of $\Lambda(\varepsilon)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(\varepsilon)(t) = &\int_0^t\int_{\Sigma_\pm}\mathcal{P}_\pm\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dsd\tau \mp \int_0^t\int_{\Sigma_\pm} V\pi(\varepsilon)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dsd\tau \\
&- \int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))(t)\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0(t)\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&- \beta\int_\Omega \pi(\varepsilon)\pi^0\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&- 2\varepsilon^2\int_0^t\int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi(\varepsilon)(t)\cdot\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi^0(t)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dzd\tau \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)^T\right)\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz + \frac{1}{2}\beta\int_\Omega(\pi^0)^2\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dz \\
&+ \varepsilon^2\int_0^t\int_\Omega \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi^0\cdot\mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)\nabla^\varepsilon\pi^0\sqrt{g(\varepsilon)}dzd\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we take the limit as ε tends to zero and obtain that $\Lambda(\varepsilon) \to \Lambda \geq 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda = &\int_0^t\int_\omega(\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial t}\sqrt{a}dsd\tau \mp \int_0^t\int_{\Sigma_\pm} V\pi^0\sqrt{a}dsd\tau \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right) : \left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right)\sqrt{a}dz - \frac{1}{2}\beta\int_\Omega(\pi^0)^2\sqrt{a}dz - \int_0^t\int_\Omega\left(\frac{\partial\pi^0}{\partial z_3}\right)^2\sqrt{a}dzd\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We now insert $\dfrac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial t}$ as a test function in (5.8), π^0 in (5.9) and sum up the equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\omega \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})) : (\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c)\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 + \frac{2\alpha}{\tilde\lambda+2}\int_\omega\left(\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} z_3\pi^0dz_3\right)\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde\lambda+2}\right)(\pi^0)^2\sqrt{a}dz + \int_\Omega\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{2}{\tilde\lambda+2}\mathbf{A}^c : \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\frac{2}{\tilde\lambda+2}\mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\right)\pi^0\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\qquad + \int_\Omega\left(\frac{\partial\pi^0}{\partial z_3}\right)^2\sqrt{a}dz = \int_\omega(\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial t}\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 \mp \int_{\Sigma_\pm} V\pi^0\sqrt{a}ds.
\end{aligned}$$

The anti-symmetric terms cancel out as before. We integrate the equation over time and use the initial conditions to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{2}\int_\omega \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})){:}(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c)\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde\lambda+2}\right)(\pi^0)^2\sqrt{a}dz \\
&\qquad + \int_0^t\int_\Omega\left(\frac{\partial\pi^0}{\partial z_3}\right)^2\sqrt{a}dzd\tau + \int_0^t\int_\Omega\alpha\frac{2}{\tilde\lambda+2}\mathbf{A}^c{:}\frac{\partial\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{\partial t}\pi^0\sqrt{a}dzd\tau \\
&= \int_0^t\int_\omega(\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-)\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial t}\sqrt{a}dsd\tau \mp \int_0^t\int_{\Sigma_\pm} V\pi^0\sqrt{a}dsd\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Next we compute the elastic energy

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right):\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right)\sqrt{a}dz\\
&\quad= \int_\Omega \tilde{\lambda}(\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\right))^2 + 2\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}:\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\sqrt{a}dz\\
&\quad= \int_\Omega \tilde{\lambda}\left(\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c:\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c:\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) + \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0\right)^2\sqrt{a}dz\\
&\qquad+ \int_\Omega 2\Bigg(\mathbf{A}^c(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}))\mathbf{A}^c:(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c:(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - z_3\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}))\right)^2\Bigg)\sqrt{a}dz\\
&\quad= \int_\Omega \tilde{\lambda}\Bigg(2\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0\mathbf{A}^c:\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - 2\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 z_3\mathbf{A}^c:\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\\
&\qquad\quad+ \left(\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)^2(\mathbf{A}^c:\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})^2 + (z_3)^2\left(\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)^2(\mathbf{A}^c:\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}))^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)^2(\pi^0)^2\Bigg)\sqrt{a}dz\\
&\qquad+ \int_\Omega 2\Bigg(\mathbf{A}^c\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\mathbf{A}^c:\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} + (z_3)^2\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c:\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\\
&\qquad\quad- 2\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0\mathbf{A}^c:\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} + 2\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 z_3\mathbf{A}^c:\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\\
&\qquad\quad+ \left(\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)^2(\pi^0)^2 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)^2(\mathbf{A}^c:\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})^2 + (z_3)^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)^2(\mathbf{A}^c:\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}))^2\Bigg)\sqrt{a}dz\\
&\quad= \int_\Omega \Bigg(\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}(2)^2}{(\tilde{\lambda}+2)^2} + \frac{2\tilde{\lambda}^2}{(\tilde{\lambda}+2)^2}\right)(\mathbf{A}^c:\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})^2 + 2\mathbf{A}^c\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\mathbf{A}^c:\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\\
&\qquad\quad+ (z_3)^2\left(\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}(2)^2}{(\tilde{\lambda}+2)^2} + \frac{2\tilde{\lambda}^2}{(\tilde{\lambda}+2)^2}\right)(\mathbf{A}^c:\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}))^2 + 2\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c:\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\right)\\
&\qquad\quad+ \left(\tilde{\lambda}\frac{\alpha^2}{(\tilde{\lambda}+2)^2} + 2\frac{\alpha^2}{(\tilde{\lambda}+2)^2}\right)(\pi^0)^2\Bigg)\sqrt{a}dz\\
&\qquad+ \int_\Omega \Bigg(\left(2\tilde{\lambda}\alpha\frac{2}{(\tilde{\lambda}+2)^2} - 4\alpha\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{(\tilde{\lambda}+2)^2}\right)\pi^0\mathbf{A}^c:\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\\
&\qquad\quad+ \left(-\tilde{\lambda}2\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} + 4\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)\pi^0 z_3\mathbf{A}^c:\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\Bigg)\sqrt{a}dz\\
&\quad= \int_\Omega \left(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}):\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\mathbf{A}^c + (z_3)^2\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})):\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}(\pi^0)^2\right)\sqrt{a}dz.
\end{aligned}
$$

Insertion of the above equality and (5.13) in (5.12), yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda &= \frac{1}{12}\frac{1}{2}\int_\omega \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})):(\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c)\sqrt{a}dz_1dz_2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\right)(\pi^0)^2\sqrt{a}dz\\
&\quad+ \int_0^t\int_\Omega\left(\frac{\partial\pi^0}{\partial z_3}\right)^2\sqrt{a}dzd\tau + \int_0^t\int_\Omega \alpha\frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c:\frac{\partial\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{\partial t}\pi^0\sqrt{a}dzd\tau\\
&\quad- \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega\left(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}):\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\mathbf{A}^c + (z_3)^2\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})):\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}(\pi^0)^2\right)\sqrt{a}dz\\
&\quad- \frac{1}{2}\beta\int_\Omega(\pi^0)^2\sqrt{a}dz - \int_0^t\int_\Omega\left(\frac{\partial\pi^0}{\partial z_3}\right)^2\sqrt{a}dzd\tau
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= \int_0^t \int_\Omega \alpha \frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \mathbf{A}^c : \frac{\partial \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{\partial t} \pi^0 \sqrt{a} dz d\tau - \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \left(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) : \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathbf{A}^c \right) \sqrt{a} dz.$$

From (5.9) for q independent of transversal variable we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_\Omega \left(\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \right) \pi^0 + \alpha \frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \mathbf{A}^c : \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \right) q \sqrt{a} dz = 0, \qquad q \in H^1(\omega).$$

This implies that

$$\alpha \frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \mathbf{A}^c : \frac{\partial \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}}{\partial t} = -\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \pi^0 dz_3. \tag{5.14}$$

Inserting (5.14) into Λ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= -\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \right) \int_0^t \int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \pi^0 dz_3 \right) \pi^0 \sqrt{a} dz d\tau - \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \left(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) : \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathbf{A}^c \right) \sqrt{a} dz \\ &= -\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \right) \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{d}{dt} \int_\omega \left(\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \pi^0 dz_3 \right)^2 \sqrt{a} dz_1 dz_2 d\tau - \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \left(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) : \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathbf{A}^c \right) \sqrt{a} dz \\ &= -\left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \right) \frac{1}{2} \int_\omega \left(\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \pi^0 dz_3 \right)^2 \sqrt{a} dz_1 dz_2 d\tau - \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \left(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) : \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathbf{A}^c \right) \sqrt{a} dz, \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

where in the last equation we have used that $\pi^0|_{t=0} = 0$. Since $\Lambda \geq 0$ by definition and since the right hand side is nonpositive we conclude that $\Lambda = 0$. Positivity of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ implies $\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = 0$ and thus the strain $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0$ is fully determined by $\mathbf{u}$ and π^0

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -z_3 \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) & \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \\ 0 \quad 0 & \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \pi^0 + z_3 \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Moreover, from (5.14) we obtain that $\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \pi^0 = 0$ and thus the poroelastic flexural shell model is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{12} \int_\omega \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{A}^c \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})) : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{A}^c \sqrt{a} dz_1 dz_2 + \frac{2\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \int_\omega \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} z_3 \pi^0 dz_3 \mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v}) \sqrt{a} dz_1 dz_2 \\ &\quad = \int_\omega (\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_-) \cdot \mathbf{v} \sqrt{a} dz_1 dz_2, \qquad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_F(\omega). \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha^2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \right) \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial t} q \sqrt{a} dz - \int_\Omega \alpha \frac{2}{\tilde{\lambda}+2} \mathbf{A}^c : \boldsymbol{\rho}(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t}) z_3 q \sqrt{a} dz + \int_\Omega \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial z_3} \frac{\partial q}{\partial z_3} \sqrt{a} dz \\ &\quad = \mp \int_{\Sigma_\pm} V q \sqrt{a} ds, \qquad q \in H^1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

We now have $\Lambda(\varepsilon)(t) \to 0$ for every $t \in [0,T]$. Since $\Lambda(\varepsilon) : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ is an equicontinuous family, we conclude strong convergences of the strain tensor and the pressure

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)) \to \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \qquad \text{strongly in } C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})), \\ &\pi(\varepsilon) \to \pi^0 \qquad \text{strongly in } C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)), \\ &\frac{\partial \pi(\varepsilon)}{\partial z_3} \to \frac{\partial \pi^0}{\partial z_3} \qquad \text{strongly in } L^2(0,T; L^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

STEP 4 (Strong convergence for displacements). We prove the strong convergence in two steps. In the first step we use the last part of Theorem 13 and prove pointwise convergence of $\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)$. Due to equicontinuity it then implies the uniform convergence, i.e., we obtain

$$\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon) \to \mathbf{u} \qquad \text{strongly in } C([0,T]; H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Lemma 17.

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)) \to \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) \qquad \textit{strongly in } C([0,T]; H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2})).$$

Proof. From [7, Theorem 5.2-1] for $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ we have the estimate (for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\gamma^\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)) + \rho_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \\ &\qquad \le C(\sum_{i=1}^3 \|\gamma^\varepsilon_{i3}(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon\|u_1(\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon\|u_2(\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \varepsilon\|u_3(\varepsilon)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}), \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

for C independent of ε. By the strong convergence (5.18) scaled transformed symmetrized gradient $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))$ is bounded in $C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}))$ and by the a priori estimates from Corollary 12 $\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)$ is bounded in $C([0,T]; H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))$. Therefore the right hand side in (5.19) tends to zero uniformly with respect to $t \in [0,T]$. Thus we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\gamma^\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)) + \rho_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)) \to 0 \qquad \text{strongly in } C([0,T]; H^{-1}(\Omega)), \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2. \tag{5.20}$$

From (5.18) we have that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^\varepsilon(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)) \to \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \qquad \text{strongly in } C([0,T]; H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3})).$$

Using this convergence in (5.20) we obtain that functions $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))$ converge strongly in $C([0,T]; H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}))$. □

Theorem 18.

$$\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon) \to \mathbf{u} \qquad \textit{strongly in } C([0,T]; H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Proof. From Lemma 17 and Remark 14 we have pointwise convergences

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))(t) \to \boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})(t) \qquad \text{strongly in } H^{-1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}), \\ &\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)(t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}(t) \qquad \text{weakly in } H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3), \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in [0,T]$. Thus the last part of the Theorem 13 (taken from [7]) implies

$$\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)(t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}(t) \qquad \text{strongly in } H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3),$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$. This implies that the function $w(\varepsilon) : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$w(\varepsilon)(t) = \|\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)(t) - \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

converges pointwisely to zero. From the uniform estimate of $\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)$ in $H^1(0,T; H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3))$ we obtain equicontinuity of the family $(w(\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon>0}$. This implies the uniform convergence of $w(\varepsilon)$. This implies the statement of the theorem. □

STEP 5 (Strong convergence for the stress tensor). As a consequence of the convergence of the term $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}(\varepsilon))$ from (5.18) we obtain the convergence of the scaled stress tensor.

Proof of Corollary 7. We compute σ in the local basis given by $\mathbf{Q}$ using (5.5) and (5.6) and that $\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = 0$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}^T\sigma\mathbf{Q} &= \tilde{\lambda}\,\mathrm{tr}\,(\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T)\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q} + 2\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^0\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q} - \alpha\pi^0\mathbf{Q}^T\mathbf{Q}\\
&= \left(\frac{\alpha\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 - z_3\frac{2\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c{:}\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}) - \alpha\pi^0\right)\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{A}^c & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\\
&\quad + 2\begin{bmatrix}-z_3\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 + z_3\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c{:}\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}))\end{bmatrix}\\
&= \left(-\frac{2\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0 - z_3\frac{2\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\mathbf{A}^c{:}\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\right)\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{A}^c & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}2z_3\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{A}^c & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}\\
&= \begin{bmatrix}-\frac{2\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}\pi^0\mathbf{A}^c - z_3\left(\frac{2\tilde{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}+2}(\mathbf{A}^c{:}\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u}))\mathbf{I} + 2\mathbf{A}^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{u})\right)\mathbf{A}^c & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

6 Appendix

6.1 Properties of the metric tensor, the curvature tensor and the third fundamental form

Some symmetry properties of geometric coefficients are listed in the following lemma. For the proof see [6].

Lemma 19. *The following symmetries hold ($\alpha, \beta, \kappa \in \{1, 2\}$)*

$$a_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\beta\alpha}, \quad a^{\alpha\beta} = a^{\beta\alpha}, \quad b_{\alpha\beta} = b_{\beta\alpha}, \quad \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\beta\alpha}.$$

The change from the basis to basis is done using

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} a_{\alpha\kappa}\boldsymbol{a}^{\kappa}, \qquad \boldsymbol{a}^{\alpha} = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} a^{\alpha\kappa}\boldsymbol{a}_{\kappa}.$$

Moreover, one has

$$b^{\tau}_{\alpha}|_{\beta} = b^{\tau}_{\beta}|_{\alpha}, \qquad \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^{\kappa}_{\alpha}b_{\kappa\beta} = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^{\kappa}_{\beta}b_{\kappa\alpha}.$$

6.2 Computation of $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})$

Lemma 20. *For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_F(\omega)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v})$ defined in the Step 2 of the convergence proof (Section 5) one has*

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{v}) = -z_3\begin{bmatrix} \multicolumn{2}{c}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v})} & \begin{matrix}\sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^{\kappa}_{1}(\partial_{\kappa}v_3 + v_{\tau}b^{\tau}_{\kappa})\\ \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^{\kappa}_{2}(\partial_{\kappa}v_3 + v_{\tau}b^{\tau}_{\kappa})\end{matrix}\\ \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^{\kappa}_{1}(\partial_{\kappa}v_3 + v_{\tau}b^{\tau}_{\kappa}) & \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} b^{\kappa}_{2}(\partial_{\kappa}v_3 + v_{\tau}b^{\tau}_{\kappa}) & 0\end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let us first denote

$$\mathbf{\Xi} = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1(\frac{1}{2}\partial_1 v_3 + 2v_\kappa b_1^\kappa) & \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_2(\frac{1}{2}\partial_1 v_3 + 2v_\kappa b_1^\kappa) + \partial_1(\frac{1}{2}\partial_2 v_3 + 2v_\kappa b_2^\kappa)\right) \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_2(\frac{1}{2}\partial_1 v_3 + 2v_\kappa b_1^\kappa) + \partial_1(\frac{1}{2}\partial_2 v_3 + 2v_\kappa b_2^\kappa)\right) & \partial_2(\frac{1}{2}\partial_2 v_3 + 2v_\kappa b_2^\kappa) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then using (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Theta}(\mathbf{v}) = z_3 \Bigg(& -\mathbf{\Xi} + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2}(\partial_\kappa v_3 + 2\sum_{\tau=1}^{2} v_\tau b_\kappa^\tau) \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{11}^\kappa & \Gamma_{12}^\kappa & -b_1^\kappa \\ \Gamma_{21}^\kappa & \Gamma_{22}^\kappa & -b_2^\kappa \\ -b_1^\kappa & -b_2^\kappa & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} v_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} b_1^\kappa|_1 & b_2^\kappa|_1 & b_1^\tau b_\tau^\kappa \\ b_1^\kappa|_2 & b_2^\kappa|_2 & b_2^\tau b_\tau^\kappa \\ b_1^\tau b_\tau^\kappa & b_2^\tau b_\tau^\kappa & 0 \end{bmatrix} + v_3 \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} b_1^\kappa b_{\kappa 1} & b_1^\kappa b_{\kappa 2} & 0 \\ b_2^\kappa b_{\kappa 1} & b_2^\kappa b_{\kappa 2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Bigg) \\ = -z_3 \Bigg(& \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\partial_1^2 v_3 + 2\partial_1 v_\kappa b_1^\kappa & \frac{1}{2}\partial_1\partial_2 v_3 + \partial_2 v_\kappa b_1^\kappa + \partial_1 v_\kappa b_2^\kappa & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\partial_1\partial_2 v_3 + \partial_2 v_\kappa b_1^\kappa + \partial_1 v_\kappa b_2^\kappa & \frac{1}{2}\partial_2^2 v_3 + 2\partial_2 v_\kappa b_2^\kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} v_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} 2\partial_1 b_1^\kappa & \partial_2 b_1^\kappa + \partial_1 b_2^\kappa & 0 \\ \partial_2 b_1^\kappa + \partial_1 b_2^\kappa & 2\partial_2 b_2^\kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} \partial_\kappa v_3 \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{11}^\kappa & \Gamma_{12}^\kappa & 0 \\ \Gamma_{21}^\kappa & \Gamma_{22}^\kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & - 2\sum_{\kappa,\tau=1}^{2} v_\kappa b_\tau^\kappa \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{11}^\tau & \Gamma_{12}^\tau & 0 \\ \Gamma_{21}^\tau & \Gamma_{22}^\tau & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} v_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} b_1^\kappa|_1 & b_2^\kappa|_1 & 0 \\ b_1^\kappa|_2 & b_2^\kappa|_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & - v_3 \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} b_1^\kappa b_{\kappa 1} & b_1^\kappa b_{\kappa 2} & 0 \\ b_2^\kappa b_{\kappa 1} & b_2^\kappa b_{\kappa 2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2}(\partial_\kappa v_3 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{2} v_\tau b_\kappa^\tau) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -b_1^\kappa \\ 0 & 0 & -b_2^\kappa \\ -b_1^\kappa & -b_2^\kappa & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Expressing $\partial_\alpha b_\beta^\kappa$ using $b_\beta^\kappa|_\alpha$ and collecting all terms with v_κ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Theta}(\mathbf{v}) = -z_3 \Bigg(& \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\partial_1^2 v_3 + 2\partial_1 v_\kappa b_1^\kappa & \frac{1}{2}\partial_1\partial_2 v_3 + \partial_2 v_\kappa b_1^\kappa + \partial_1 v_\kappa b_2^\kappa & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\partial_1\partial_2 v_3 + \partial_2 v_\kappa b_1^\kappa + \partial_1 v_\kappa b_2^\kappa & \frac{1}{2}\partial_2^2 v_3 + 2\partial_2 v_\kappa b_2^\kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} v_\kappa \begin{bmatrix} b_1^\kappa|_1 - 2\sum_{\tau=1}^{2}\Gamma_{1\tau}^\kappa b_1^\tau & b_1^\kappa|_2 - \sum_{\tau=1}^{2}\Gamma_{2\tau}^\kappa b_1^\tau - \sum_{\tau=1}^{2}\Gamma_{1\tau}^\kappa b_2^\tau & 0 \\ -\sum_{\tau=1}^{2}\Gamma_{2\tau}^\kappa b_1^\tau + b_2^\kappa|_1 - \sum_{\tau=1}^{2}\Gamma_{1\tau}^\kappa b_2^\tau & b_2^\kappa|_2 - 2\sum_{\tau=1}^{2}\Gamma_{2\tau}^\kappa b_2^\tau & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} \partial_\kappa v_3 \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{11}^\kappa & \Gamma_{12}^\kappa & 0 \\ \Gamma_{21}^\kappa & \Gamma_{22}^\kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - v_3 \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} b_1^\kappa b_{\kappa 1} & b_1^\kappa b_{\kappa 2} & 0 \\ b_2^\kappa b_{\kappa 1} & b_2^\kappa b_{\kappa 2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{2}(\partial_\kappa v_3 + \sum_{\tau=1}^{2} v_\tau b_\kappa^\tau) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_1^\kappa \\ 0 & 0 & b_2^\kappa \\ b_1^\kappa & b_2^\kappa & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the statement of the lemma. $\square$

A part of the proof can be also find in [7, Step 4 in Section 6.2].

6.3 Cylindrical surface

Let $\omega_L = (-L/2, L/2) \times (0, d)$, where $d \in (0, 2\pi)$ and let (z, θ) denotes the generic point in ω. Let $R > 0$. We define the cylindrical shell by the parametrization

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} : \omega_L \to \mathbb{R}^3, \qquad \boldsymbol{\varphi}(z, \theta) = (R\cos\theta, R\sin\theta, z)^T.$$

For $d = 2\pi$ the surface is the full cylinder. Then the extended covariant basis of the shell $S = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\omega)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{a}_1(z,\theta) &= \partial_z \boldsymbol{\varphi}(z,\theta) = (0,0,1)^T, \\
\boldsymbol{a}_2(z,\theta) &= \partial_\theta \boldsymbol{\varphi}(z,\theta) = (-R\sin\theta, R\cos\theta, 0)^T, \\
\boldsymbol{a}_3(z,\theta) &= \frac{\boldsymbol{a}_1(z,\theta) \times \boldsymbol{a}_2(z,\theta)}{|\boldsymbol{a}_1(z,\theta) \times \boldsymbol{a}_2(z,\theta)|} = (-\cos\theta, -\sin\theta, 0)^T.
\end{aligned}$$

The contravariant basis is biorthogonal and is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{a}^1(z,\theta) &= (0,0,1)^T, \\
\boldsymbol{a}^2(z,\theta) &= (-\frac{1}{R}\sin\theta, \frac{1}{R}\cos\theta, 0)^T, \\
\boldsymbol{a}^3(z,\theta) &= (-\cos\theta, -\sin\theta, 0)^T.
\end{aligned}$$

The covariant $\mathbf{A}_c = (a_{\alpha\beta})$ and contravariant $\mathbf{A}^c = (a^{\alpha\beta})$ metric tensors are respectively given by

$$\mathbf{A}_c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R^2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{A}^c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{R^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the area element is now $\sqrt{a}dS = \sqrt{\det \mathbf{A}_c}dS = RdS$. The covariant and mixed components of the curvature tensor are now given by

$$b_{11} = b_{12} = b_{21} = 0, \quad b_{22} = R, \qquad b_1^1 = b_2^1 = b_1^2 = 0, \quad b_2^2 = \frac{1}{R}.$$

A simple calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\beta} &= \boldsymbol{a}^\sigma \cdot \partial_\beta \boldsymbol{a}_\alpha = 0, \qquad \alpha,\beta,\sigma \in \{1,2\}, \\
b^\sigma_\beta|_\alpha &= \partial_\alpha b^\sigma_\beta + \Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\tau} b^\tau_\beta - \Gamma^\tau_{\beta\alpha} b^\sigma_\tau = \partial_\alpha b^\sigma_\beta = 0, \qquad \alpha,\beta,\sigma \in \{1,2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now the displacement vector $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ in canonical coordinates is rewritten in the local basis $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{v} = v_1\boldsymbol{a}^1 + v_2\boldsymbol{a}^2 + v_3\boldsymbol{a}^3$. Note that contravariant basis is different than the usual basis associated with the cylindrical coordinates. One has

$$v_1 = v_z, \quad v_2 = Rv_\theta, \quad v_3 = -v_r.$$

Similarly, $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\pm = \mathbf{Q}^{-T}\mathcal{P}_\pm = (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_1\boldsymbol{a}_1 + (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_2\boldsymbol{a}_2 + (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_3\boldsymbol{a}_3$. Thus

$$(\mathcal{P}_\pm)_1 = (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_z, \quad (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_2 = \frac{1}{R}(\mathcal{P}_\pm)_\theta, \quad (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_3 = -(\mathcal{P}_\pm)_r.$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{P}_\pm \cdot \mathbf{v} = (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_1 v_1 + (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_2 v_2 + (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_3 v_3 = (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_z v_z + (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_\theta v_\theta + (\mathcal{P}_\pm)_r v_r.$$

Inserting the geometry coefficients into the strains $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varrho}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\mathbf{v}) &= \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1 v_1 - \sum_{\kappa=1}^2 \Gamma^\kappa_{11} v_\kappa - b_{11} v_3 & \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 v_2 + \partial_2 v_1) - \sum_{\kappa=1}^2 \Gamma^\kappa_{12} v_\kappa - b_{12} v_3 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 v_2 + \partial_2 v_1) - \sum_{\kappa=1}^2 \Gamma^\kappa_{21} v_\kappa - b_{21} v_3 & \partial_2 v_2 - \sum_{\kappa=1}^2 \Gamma^\kappa_{22} v_\kappa - b_{22} v_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \partial_z v_z & \frac{1}{2}(R\partial_z v_\theta + \partial_\theta v_z) \\ \frac{1}{2}(R\partial_z v_\theta + \partial_\theta v_z) & R\partial_\theta v_\theta + Rv_r \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v}) &= \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{11} v_3 & \partial_{12} v_3 \\ \partial_{21} v_3 & \partial_{22} v_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\kappa=1}^2 \begin{bmatrix} b_1^\kappa \partial_1 v_\kappa + b_1^\kappa \partial_1 v_\kappa + b_1^\kappa|_1 v_\kappa - b_1^\kappa b_{\kappa 1} v_3 & b_2^\kappa \partial_1 v_\kappa + b_1^\kappa \partial_2 v_\kappa + b_1^\kappa|_2 v_\kappa - b_1^\kappa b_{\kappa 2} v_3 \\ b_1^\kappa \partial_2 v_\kappa + b_2^\kappa \partial_1 v_\kappa + b_2^\kappa|_1 v_\kappa - b_2^\kappa b_{\kappa 1} v_3 & b_2^\kappa \partial_2 v_\kappa + b_2^\kappa \partial_2 v_\kappa + b_2^\kappa|_2 v_\kappa - b_2^\kappa b_{\kappa 2} v_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_{zz} v_r & -\partial_{z\theta} v_r + \partial_z v_\theta \\ -\partial_{\theta z} v_r + \partial_z v_\theta & -\partial_{\theta\theta} v_r + 2\partial_\theta v_\theta + v_r \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

As example we write the model on the space

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_F(\omega_L) = \{&(v_z, v_\theta, v_r) \in H^1(\omega_L) \times H^1(\omega_L) \times H^2(\omega_L) : (v_z, v_\theta, v_r)|_{\theta=0,d} = 0, \\ &\partial_\theta v_r|_{\theta=0,d} = 0, \quad \partial_z v_z = \frac{1}{2}(R\partial_z v_\theta + \partial_\theta v_z) = R\partial_\theta v_\theta + Rv_r = 0\}\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

which includes clamping boundary conditions only on two generatrices of the portion of the cylinder. For cylindrical shell fully clamped one has $\mathcal{V}_F(\omega_L) = \{0\}$, and the shell behaves as the generalized membrane shell, see [7, Section 5.8]. From the condition of inextensibility in (6.21) we obtain

$$v_z(\theta), \qquad \partial_z v_\theta = -\frac{1}{R}\partial_\theta v_z, \qquad v_r = -\partial_\theta v_\theta.$$

Therefore (using notation $' = \partial_\theta$)

$$v_z(\theta), \qquad v_\theta(z,\theta) = -\frac{z}{R}v_z'(\theta) + w_\theta(\theta), \qquad v_r(z,\theta) = \frac{z}{R}v_z''(\theta) - w_\theta'(\theta).$$

Smoothness and boundary conditions for v_z, v_θ, v_r imply

$$v_z \in H_0^4(0,d), \qquad w_\theta \in H_0^3(0,d).$$

Thus

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{R}(v_z'' + v_z)' \\ -\frac{1}{R}(v_z'' + v_z)' & -\frac{z}{R}(v_z'' + v_z)'' + (w_\theta'' + w_\theta)' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now we insert this into the model given by (3.21)–(3.23) written in dimensional form

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\ell^3}{12}\int_0^d\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\Big(\frac{2\mu\lambda}{\lambda+2\mu}\frac{1}{R^4}\left(-\frac{z}{R}(u_z''+u_z)''+(\omega_\theta''+\omega_\theta)'\right)\left(-\frac{z}{R}(v_z''+v_z)''+(w_\theta''+w_\theta)'\right) \\ &\quad + 2\mu\left(\frac{2}{R^4}(u_z''+u_z)'(v_z''+v_z)' + \frac{1}{R^4}(\frac{z}{R}(u_z''+u_z)''-(\omega_\theta''+\omega_\theta)')(\frac{z}{R}(v_z''+v_z)''-(w_\theta''+w_\theta)')\right)\Big)Rdzd\theta \\ &\quad + \frac{2\mu\alpha}{\lambda+2\mu}\int_0^d\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} rp^0dr\frac{1}{R^2}\left(-\frac{z}{R}(v_z''+v_z)''+(w_\theta''+w_\theta)'\right)Rdzd\theta \\ &= \int_0^d\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\Big(((\mathcal{P}_L^{+\ell})_z+(\mathcal{P}_L^{-\ell})_z)v_z + ((\mathcal{P}_L^{+\ell})_\theta+(\mathcal{P}_L^{-\ell})_\theta)(-\frac{z}{R}v_z'+w_\theta) \\ &\qquad + ((\mathcal{P}_L^{+\ell})_r+(\mathcal{P}_L^{-\ell})_r)(\frac{z}{R}v_z''-w_\theta')\Big)Rdzd\theta, \qquad v_z \in H_0^4(0,d), w_\theta \in H_0^3(0,d).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2}\int_0^d\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\left(\beta_G+\frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda+2\mu}\right)p^0qRdzd\theta dr \\ &\quad - \frac{2\alpha\mu}{\lambda+2\mu}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2}\int_0^d\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\frac{1}{R^2}\left(-\frac{z}{R}\partial_t(u_z''+u_z)''+\partial_t(\omega_\theta''+\omega_\theta)'\right)rqRdzd\theta dr \\ &\quad + \frac{k}{\eta}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2}\int_0^d\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\frac{\partial p^0}{\partial r}\frac{\partial q}{\partial r}Rdzd\theta dr = \int_0^d\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}V_L(q(-\frac{\ell}{2})-q(\frac{\ell}{2}))Rdzd\theta \\ &\quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(0,T), \qquad q \in H^1((-\ell/2,\ell/2);L^2(\omega_L)), \\ &p^0 = 0 \qquad \text{at} \quad t = 0.\end{aligned}$$

After integration over z the first equation separates and we obtain the following problem: find $\{p^0, u_z, \omega_\theta\} \in C([0,T]; H^1(\Omega_L^\ell) \times H_0^4(0,d) \times H_0^3(0,d))$, $\partial_r p^0 \in L^2((0,T) \times \Omega_L^\ell)$ satisfying the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\ell^3}{12}\int_0^d \frac{1}{R^3}\left(4\mu\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2\mu}\frac{L^3}{12R^2}(u_z''+u_z)''(v_z''+v_z)''+4\mu L(u_z''+u_z)'(v_z''+v_z)'\right)d\theta\\
&\qquad -\frac{2\mu\alpha}{\lambda+2\mu}\frac{1}{R^2}\int_0^d\left(\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} rp^0 dr z dz\right)(v_z''+v_z)''d\theta\\
&\quad =\int_0^d\left(R\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}((\mathcal{P}_L^{+\ell})_z+(\mathcal{P}_L^{-\ell})_z)dzv_z-\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}((\mathcal{P}_L^{+\ell})_\theta+(\mathcal{P}_L^{-\ell})_\theta)zdzv_z'\right.\\
&\qquad \left.+\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}((\mathcal{P}_L^{+\ell})_r+(\mathcal{P}_L^{-\ell})_r)zdzv_z''\right)d\theta,\qquad v_z\in H_0^4(0,d),\\
&\frac{\ell^3}{12}\int_0^d \frac{L}{R^3}4\mu\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2\mu}(\omega_\theta''+\omega_\theta)'(w_\theta''+w_\theta)'d\theta+\frac{2\mu\alpha}{\lambda+2\mu}\frac{1}{R}\int_0^d\left(\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} rp^0 drdz\right)(w_\theta''+w_\theta)'d\theta\\
&\quad =\int_0^d\left(\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}((\mathcal{P}_L^{+\ell})_\theta+(\mathcal{P}_L^{-\ell})_\theta)dzw_\theta+\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}((\mathcal{P}_L^{+\ell})_r+(\mathcal{P}_L^{-\ell})_r)dzw_\theta'\right)Rd\theta,\qquad w_\theta\in H_0^3(0,d),\\
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2}\int_0^d\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\left(\beta_G+\frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda+2\mu}\right)p^0 qRdzd\theta dr\\
&\qquad -\frac{2\alpha\mu}{\lambda+2\mu}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2}\int_0^d\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\frac{1}{R^2}\left(-\frac{z}{R}\partial_t(u_z''+u_z)''+\partial_t(\omega_\theta''+\omega_\theta)'\right)rqRdzd\theta dr\\
&\qquad +\frac{k}{\eta}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2}\int_0^d\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\frac{\partial p^0}{\partial r}\frac{\partial q}{\partial r}Rdzd\theta dr=\int_0^d\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}V_L(q(-\frac{\ell}{2})-q(\frac{\ell}{2}))Rdzd\theta\\
&\qquad \text{in}\quad \mathcal{D}'(0,T),\qquad q\in H^1((-\ell/2,\ell/2);L^2(\omega_L)),\\
&p^0=0\qquad \text{at}\quad t=0.
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms in the shell equation appear in the classical model of linear model of cylindrical shells, see e.g. [15] and [4].

References

[1] J.-L. Auriault. *Poroelastic media*, in *Homogenization and Porous Media*, editor U. Hornung, Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 1997, 163–182.

[2] M. A. Biot, *Theory of elasticity and consolidation for a porous anisotropic solid*, Journal of Applied Physics **26** (1955), 182–185.

[3] M. A. Biot, *Theory of Stability and Consolidation of a Porous Medium Under Initial Stress*, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics **12** (1963), 521–542.

[4] J. Blaauwendraad, J.H. Hoefakker, Structural Shell Analysis: Understanding and Application, Springer, Dordrecht, 2014.

[5] D. Blanchard, G. Francfort, *Asymptotic thermoelastic behavior of flat plates*, Quarterly of Applied Mathematics **45** (1987), 645-667.

[6] P. G. Ciarlet, An introduction to differential geometry with applications to elasticity, Springer, Dordrecht, 2005.

[7] P. G. Ciarlet, *Mathematical elasticity. Vol. III. Theory of shells*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 2000.

[8] P.G. Ciarlet and P. Destuynder, *A justification of the two dimensional linear plate model*, J. Mécanique **18** (1979), 315–344.

[9] P.G. Ciarlet and V. Lods, *Asymptotic Analysis of Linearly Elastic Shells. I. Justification of Membrane Shells Equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **136** (1996), 119–161.

[10] P. G. Ciarlet, V. Lods and B. Miara, *Asymptotic analysis of linearly elastic shells. II. Justification of flexural shell equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **136** (1996), 163–190.

[11] T. Clopeau, J.L. Ferrín, R. P. Gilbert and A. Mikelić, *Homogenizing the Acoustic Properties of the Seabed, II*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling **33** (2001), 821–841.

[12] O. Coussy, Mechanics and Physics of Porous Solids, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 2011.

[13] M. Dauge, E. Faou and Z. Yosibash, Plates and shells: Asymptotic expansions and hierarchical models, in "Encyclopedia of Computational Mechanics", edited by E. Stein, R. de Borst and T. J.R. Hughes, John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., 2004.

[14] J.L. Ferrín and A. Mikelić, *Homogenizing the Acoustic Properties of a Porous Matrix Containing an Incompressible Inviscid Fluid*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences **26** (2003), 831–859.

[15] J.H. Hoefakker, Theory Review for Cylindrical Shells and Parametric Study of Chimneys and Tanks, PhD thesis, Delft University of Technology, 2010.

[16] A. Marciniak-Czochra and A. Mikelić, *A Rigorous Derivation of the Equations for the Clamped Biot-Kirchhoff-Love Poroelastic plate*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **215** (2015), 1035–1062

[17] A. Mikelić and M. F. Wheeler, *On the interface law between a deformable porous medium containing a viscous fluid and an elastic body*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences **22** (2012), 11, 1240031 (32 pages).

[18] P.M. Naghdi, The Theory of Shells and Plates, Encyclopedia of Physics Vol. VIa/2, Springer, New York, 1972, pp. 423–640.

[19] Nguetseng G, *Asymptotic analysis for a stiff variational problem arising in mechanics*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **20** (1990), 608–623.

[20] E. Sanchez-Palencia, Non-Homogeneous Media and Vibration Theory, Springer Lecture Notes in Physics 129, Springer, 1980.

[21] J. Simon, *Compact sets in the space* $L^p(0,T;B)$, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, **146** (1986), 65–96.

[22] L. A. Taber and A. M. Puleo, *Poroelastic Plate and Shell Theories*, in A. P. S. Selvadurai (ed.), Mechanics of Poroelastic Media, Kluwer Academic Publishers 1996, 323–337.

[23] I. Tolstoy, ed., Acoustics, elasticity, and thermodynamics of porous media. Twenty-one papers by M.A. Biot, Acoustical Society of America, New York, 1992.